

To be held at the SANTA CLARITA CITY HALL
CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS
23920 Valencia Boulevard, Santa Clarita, California

WEDNESDAY March 23, 2016 At 6:00 P.M.

| Governing Body | Director | Alternate |
|--------------------|----------|--------------------------|
| SANTA CLARITA | KELLAR | BOYDSTON |
| SANTA CLARITA | WESTE | BOYDSTON |
| LOS ANGELES COUNTY | SOLIS | ANTONOVICH (Chairperson) |

1. Approve Minutes of Special Meeting Held February 25, 2016
2. Approve February 2016 Expenses in Total Amount of \$2,634,238.92

Summary: Local District expenses represent costs incurred for operations, maintenance, and capital projects that are the sole responsibility of the individual District. The District's share of allocated expenses represent their proportionate share of expenses made by District No. 2, the administrative District, on behalf of the individual districts that participate in either the Joint Administration Agreement or the Joint Outfall Agreement. These Agreements provide for the management of the operations, maintenance, and capital costs associated with all of the shared facilities along with the methodology for determining the proportionate costs for each participating district.

Local District Expenses:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Operations & Maintenance (O & M) | \$1,520,311.22 |
| Capital | 499,652.34 |

Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District's Share of Allocated Expenses for
O & M and Capital:

| | |
|----------------------|------------|
| Joint Administration | 186,752.93 |
| Technical Support | 427,522.43 |

| | |
|----------------|-----------------------|
| Total Expenses | <u>\$2,634,238.92</u> |
|----------------|-----------------------|

3. Re: *Affordable Clean Water Alliance v. Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BS145869

Summary: Conference with legal counsel—existing litigation—*Affordable Clean Water Alliance v. Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BS 145869, pursuant to California Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(1) - one case. The Chief Engineer and General Manager and District Counsel will discuss this matter in closed session.

4. Re: Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District Chloride Compliance

- (a) Adopt *Resolution of the Board of Directors of Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County: (1) Decertifying Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District Chloride Compliance Facilities Plan and Final Environmental Impact Report (2013 EIR); (2) Recertifying the 2013 EIR as augmented by the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (Final SEIR) for Brine Concentration and Limited Trucking and Certifying the Final SEIR; and (3) Making Findings of Fact and Adopting Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP)*
- (b) Adopt *Resolution of the Board of Directors of Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County Approving Modified Chloride Compliance Project*

Summary: This item is regarding compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for the state-mandated chloride compliance project. In October 2013, the Board certified an EIR for the project (2013 EIR) and approved a project with the following components: ultraviolet disinfection, advanced treatment for chloride removal, and brine management through deep well injection. The 2013 EIR also evaluated municipal reuse of recycled water from the Districts' treatment plants. In 2015, the Board directed staff to investigate other brine management alternatives and perform new environmental analysis. In the fall of 2015, the District released, for public and agency comment, a focused Supplemental EIR (SEIR) that evaluated the potential environmental impacts of a new approach to brine management involving brine concentration equipment and limited trucking of concentrated brine to an existing Districts' industrial facility in the City of Carson for disposal. The Final SEIR, which includes responses to all comments received, was released on March 11, 2016 and will be considered for certification.

4. Contd.

The 2013 EIR was challenged in Los Angeles County Superior Court which entered a ruling in February 2016 and a judgment in March 2016. The court ruling granted the petition in two areas: 1) stating a need to conduct further studies on the potential impacts to the unarmored threespine stickleback fish; and 2) setting aside the 2013 EIR and associated project approval because of the additional studies needed for the stickleback and because of the newly proposed approach to brine management, which was not evaluated in the 2013 EIR. The first issue was related to reduced discharge of treated wastewater to the river. Given the newly proposed method of brine management, staff and District Counsel contends that the stickleback concern is only related to the recycled water part of the 2013 EIR, which is independent from other parts of the project needed to comply with the chloride limit, with which the Court found no deficiencies. With regard to the second issue, the recently completed Final SEIR addresses the newly proposed brine management approach. In order to comply with the strict schedule of the state-mandated chloride project, the proposed project excludes the recycled water component, which would be separately studied further to address the Court's ruling. The judgment directed the Districts to decertify the 2013 EIR, and not proceed with the project until further compliance with CEQA.

Therefore, the actions for consideration are to decertify the 2013 EIR and set aside the associated project approval, recertify the 2013 EIR as augmented with the SEIR, certify the SEIR, and approve a chloride compliance project that includes ultraviolet disinfection, advanced treatment to remove chloride, brine minimization and limited trucking of the concentrated brine to an existing Districts' industrial facility in the City of Carson. A letter discussing this matter was previously transmitted to the directors and is attached along with proposed Resolutions, Findings of Fact and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

5. Re: Valencia Water Reclamation Plant Retaining Wall Extension (Project)

(a) Report on Bids and Award of Contract for Construction of Project

Summary: Bid summary/recommendation to award is attached.

(b) Authorize Issuance of Purchase Order to Advanced Earth Sciences, Inc., (AES) in Amount of Approximately \$401,000 to Provide Construction Inspection for Project

Summary: Construction inspection services are required for the specialized construction methods and earthworks proposed for the Project. Proposals were solicited and received from three consulting firms. AES was ranked as the most qualified to provide the services and submitted the lowest cost proposal.

(c) Authorize Issuance of Purchase Order to Environmental Science Associates (ESA) in Amount of Approximately \$106,000 to Provide Biological Support Services for Project

Summary: Biological support services are needed to secure a permit from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife and to implement permit conditions during construction. ESA was previously selected through a competitive process to perform similar work for the District on another project and have performed well. ESA is recommended as the most cost-effective option due to their familiarity with the site and their willingness to provide the required services at their previous labor rates.

6. Authorized One-Year Extension of Purchase Order to Polydyne Inc., in Amount of Approximately \$184,000 for Cationic Emulsion Polymer.

Summary: In March 2013, subsequent to competitive bids, the Board awarded a purchase order to Polydyne to furnish and deliver cationic emulsion polymer used in secondary clarification at the Saugus Water Reclamation Plant (WRP) for a period of three years. The specifications allow for two one-year extensions upon mutual agreement. Polydyne has offered to extend the agreement for an additional year at a reduced unit price of \$2.00 per active pound. The proposed price matches Polydyne's current agreement price for the Valencia WRP. The proposed unit price remains lower than that of the second low bidder from 2013, and an extension at this time is in the best interest of the Districts.

7. Re: Specific Purpose Investments Made by Los Angeles County Treasurer and Tax Collector (Treasurer) on Behalf of District

(a) Adopt Resolution Approving Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Providing Terms and Conditions for Specific Purpose Investments by the Treasurer

(b) Order Execution of MOU

Summary: The Districts have utilized the Treasurer to invest non-operating funds through the County's

7. Contd.

Specific Purpose Investment (SPI) program and in accordance with each District's investment policy. The Treasurer has requested that each District adopt a Resolution, as attached, that clarifies some of the old language regarding SPI investments and also a new MOU that better defines the roles and responsibilities of the parties.

8. Adopt Resolution Approving Joint Community Facilities Agreement (JCFA) with William S. Hart Union High School District (School District), Community Facilities District No. 2015-1 of the William S. Hart Union High School District (CFD), and Synergy-Brookfield LLC, A California Limited Liability Company (Brookfield)

Summary: In order to fund obligations associated with its proposed development to the school district, Brookfield proposed and the School District established a CFD pursuant to the Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act of 1982. In accordance with the Mello-Roos Act, the School District is the sponsoring agency for the CFD. Brookfield has proposed to include the cost of certain facilities to be owned and operated by the District as part of the funding provided by the CFD. Accordingly, under the provisions of the Mello-Roos Act, the District must be party to the Agreement since it will be the ultimate recipient of the bond proceeds. The District will have no obligations for issuing any CFD bonds or for repaying any bonds that might be issued. The proposed Resolution, copy attached, authorizes the Chief Engineer and General Manager to execute the Agreement.

9. Order Wastewater Service Charge Report, Containing Description of Each Parcel and Amount of Proposed Wastewater Service Charge for Fiscal Year 2016-17 for Each Parcel, Filed with Clerk of Board of Directors of District; Establish Date, Time, and Place for Public Hearing on Report; and Order Publication of Required Public Notices

Summary: A letter discussing this matter, together with a copy of the preliminary budget and the Service Charge Report, accompanies the agenda. In this District, the current service charge rate per single-family home (SFH) is \$23.92 per month (\$287 per year). The rate for fiscal year 2016-17, as previously adopted by the Board of Directors, is \$25.58 per month (\$307 per year). Owners of multiple dwelling units and commercial properties are charged in proportion to their use compared to a SFH.

Adjourn to Monday, April 18, 2016, 6:00 p.m., at the Santa Clarita City Hall, 23920 Valencia Boulevard, Santa Clarita, CA

NOTE: Prior to or during the regular meeting session, the Chief Engineer and General Manager may update the Directors on various matters concerning the Districts that may be of current interest to the Directors.

Members of the public may address the Board of Directors on any item shown on the agenda or matter under the Board's authority. A "Request to Address Board of Directors" form is available in the foyer. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you require special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the Secretary to the Boards' Office (562) 908-4288, extension 1100. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable staff to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting. (28CFR 35.101 et seq. ADA Title II).

Agendas and supporting documents or other writings that will be distributed to Board members in connection with matters subject to discussion or consideration at this meeting that are not exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act are available for inspection following the posting of this agenda at the office of the Secretary to the Boards of Directors located at the Districts' Joint Administration Building, 1955 Workman Mill Road, Whittier, California, 90601 or at the time of the meeting at the address posted on this agenda.



COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

1955 Workman Mill Road, Whittier, CA 90601-1400
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 4998, Whittier, CA 90607-4998
Telephone: (562) 699-7411, FAX: (562) 699-5422
www.lacsd.org

GRACE ROBINSON HYDE
Chief Engineer and General Manager

March 10, 2016

Board of Directors
Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of
Los Angeles County

Directors:

Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for Brine Concentration and Limited Trucking

The Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District (SCVSD) Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for Brine Concentration and Limited Trucking (SEIR) has been finalized and is being mailed to responsible agencies and interested parties this week. As discussed at previous Board meetings and outlined in the Draft Executive Summary provided to the Directors in November 2015, the SEIR proposes to modify the project to comply with the Upper Santa Clara River Chloride Total Maximum Daily Load (Chloride TMDL) that the Board approved in October 2013. Specifically, staff recommends replacing brine management by deep well injection with the addition of brine concentration equipment at the Valencia Water Reclamation Plant (WRP) and limited trucking of concentrated brine to an existing industrial facility. Enclosed are the Notice of Availability and the Final SEIR.

The recommended modified chloride compliance project consists of the following components: UV disinfection at the Valencia and Saugus WRPs, advanced treatment by microfiltration/reverse osmosis at the Valencia WRP, brine concentration at the Valencia WRP, and trucking of concentrated brine to the Joint Water Pollution Control Plant in Carson. The potential environmental impacts from the first two components are addressed as Alternative 2 in the EIR certified by the Board in October 2013 (2013 EIR). The 2013 EIR was challenged in Los Angeles County Superior Court. Recently, while finding no deficiencies in the 2013 EIR related to the chloride compliance project, the Court set aside and decertified the 2013 EIR. Legal Counsel has advised that the Court's ruling means the 2013 EIR is not a valid "stand alone" document because the environmental analysis of the final component, brine management, has not been completed. The potential impacts from the remaining two components are addressed in the SEIR.

The 2013 EIR contains a project component titled "Support for Municipal Reuse of Recycled Water." This component is independent from the other project components, which are needed to comply with the Chloride TMDL. The Court ruled that this component requires further environmental review. To avoid delays in meeting the Chloride TMDL compliance deadline, this water reuse component is no longer part of the currently recommended project. This component would be separately considered by the SCVSD Board after further environmental and public review in a separate CEQA document.

The SEIR concludes that the modified compliance project would not result in any significant environmental impacts and no new mitigation measures are required relative to those identified in the 2013 EIR. The Draft SEIR was made available for public review from November 17, 2015, to January 8, 2016. Two public hearings were held in December and were attended by twelve and four members of the public, respectively. Seven comment letters were received—four from public agencies and three from members of the public. Comments and responses to comments are contained in Section 7 of the Final SEIR.

Staff will make a presentation on the Final SEIR at the Board meeting scheduled for March 23, 2016, at 6:00 PM in the City of Santa Clarita Council Chambers. Before the Board is asked to consider recertification of the 2013 EIR (without the water reuse component) in combination with certification of the Final SEIR, the public can provide input to the Board on the factors they believe the Board should consider. If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me or Raymond Tremblay, Head of the Facilities Planning Department, at the above-listed telephone number or at ghyde@lacsdsd.org or rtremblay@lacsdsd.org.

Very truly yours,



Grace Robinson Hyde

GRH:RLT:ddg

Enclosures

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY: (1) DECERTIFYING SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT CHLORIDE COMPLIANCE FACILITIES PLAN AND FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (“2013 EIR”); (2) RECERTIFYING THE 2013 EIR AS AUGMENTED BY THE FINAL SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (FINAL SEIR) FOR BRINE CONCENTRATION AND LIMITED TRUCKING AND CERTIFICATION OF THE FINAL SEIR; AND (3) MAKING FINDINGS OF FACT, AND ADOPTING MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

The Board of Directors (the “Board”) of the Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County (the “District”) is presently considering the approval of a Modified Chloride Compliance Project;

On October 28, 2013, the Board approved a project to comply with the Upper Santa Clara River Chloride Total Maximum Daily Load (Chloride TMDL) and certified that the associated Facilities Plan and EIR (2013 EIR) was completed in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act.

On March 9, 2016, in the Superior Court proceeding *Affordable Clean Water Alliance v. Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County*, Case No. BS 145869, the Superior Court entered a judgment directing the District to decertify the 2013 EIR.

Staff recommends replacing the brine management component of the chloride compliance project approved in 2013. Specifically, staff recommends a Modified Chloride Compliance Project consisting of the following components: ultraviolet light disinfection at the Valencia Water Reclamation Plant (WRP) and Saugus WRP, advanced treatment by microfiltration/reverse osmosis at the Valencia WRP, brine concentration at the Valencia WRP, and limited trucking of concentrated brine to the Joint Water Pollution Control Plant in Carson.

The potential environmental impacts from the first two project components are addressed as a portion of Alternative 2 in the 2013 EIR and the potential environmental impacts from the remaining two components are addressed in the Final SEIR. The Final SEIR also identifies the potential environmental impacts from a project component called potential renewable energy facilities.

The project component in the 2013 EIR called “Support for Municipal Reuse of Recycled Water” is independent and severable from other project components which are needed to comply with the chloride limit. This component is no longer part of the currently recommended project and requires further environmental review under a separate CEQA document before any potential approval and implementation.

The District has caused to be prepared the Draft Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for Brine Concentration and Limited Trucking (the “Draft SEIR”) that assesses the significant environmental impacts and mitigation measures associated with the implementation of the modified components of the chloride compliance project.

The District consulted with other public agencies and the general public, and provided such agencies and the public with the opportunity to provide written and oral comments on the Draft SEIR, as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”), Public Resources Code §§21000 *et seq.*;

The District has reviewed the comments received and responded to the significant environmental concerns raised during the review and consultation process;

The comments received on the Draft SEIR together with the District’s responses, have been included in the Final SEIR; and

The 2013 EIR as augmented by the Final SEIR, consisting of the responses to comments and the revised Draft SEIR, have been presented to the Board for review and consideration prior to the approval of, and commitment to, the Modified Chloride Compliance Project.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE BOARD HEREBY RESOLVES, DETERMINES, AND FINDS:

1. The 2013 EIR is hereby decertified.
2. That the 2013 EIR as augmented by the Final SEIR (collectively, Augmented EIR) has been completed in accordance with the requirements of CEQA;
3. That the Board has independently reviewed and considered the information contained in the Augmented EIR;
4. That the Board makes and adopts the written findings set forth in the associated Findings of Fact and certifies that such written findings and conclusions are based on the Board's independent review of the information contained in the Augmented EIR and reflects the independent judgment of the Board;
5. That the Augmented EIR has identified no significant and unavoidable environmental impacts associated with the implementation of the Modified Chloride Compliance Project;
6. That the Board adopts the associated Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program and finds that the mitigation measures identified therein will reduce the potential impacts from implementation of the Modified Chloride Compliance Project to less than significant levels; and

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board hereby certifies the Final SEIR, State Clearinghouse Number 2012011010, certifies the Augmented EIR, and authorizes and directs the Secretary of the Board to file the Notice of Determination and any other documents in accordance with the requirements of CEQA and the District's CEQA procedures.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a meeting held on March 23, 2016, by the following vote:

AYES: _____

NOES: _____

ABSTAIN: _____

ABSENT: _____

ATTEST:

By: _____
Secretary of the Board

By: _____
Chairperson

**RESOLUTION OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION
DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY APPROVING MODIFIED CHLORIDE
COMPLIANCE PROJECT**

The Board of Directors (the "Board") of the Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County (the "District") has certified that the Final Environmental Impact Report (the "2013 EIR") for the Final Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District Chloride Compliance Facilities Plan (the "Final Facilities Plan") as augmented by the Final Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for Brine Concentration and Limited Trucking (the "Final SEIR") were prepared in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and the District's CEQA procedures; and

On March 9, 2016, in the Superior Court proceeding Affordable Clean Water Alliance v. Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County, Case No. BS 145869, the Superior Court entered a judgment directing the District to set aside all Project approvals based upon the 2013 EIR.

The Final SEIR presents a Modified Chloride Compliance Project consisting of the following components: ultraviolet light disinfection at the Valencia Water Reclamation Plant (WRP) and Saugus WRP, advanced treatment by microfiltration/reverse osmosis at the Valencia WRP, brine concentration at the Valencia WRP, and limited trucking of concentrated brine to the Joint Water Pollution Control Plant in Carson.

The potential environmental impacts from the first two project components are addressed as Alternative 2 in the 2013 EIR and the potential environmental impacts from the remaining two components are addressed in the Final SEIR.

The project component in the 2013 called "Support for Municipal Reuse of Recycled Water" is independent and severable from other project components which are needed to comply with the chloride limit. This component is no longer part of the currently recommended project and requires further environmental review under a separate CEQA document before any potential approval and implementation.

The Board has made written findings for the implementation of the Modified Chloride Compliance Project.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED that the Board sets aside its approval of the Project originally described in the 2013 EIR.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board hereby approves the Modified Chloride Compliance Project, as described above and analyzed in the 2013 EIR as augmented by the Final SEIR, with the exception of the project component in the 2013 EIR called "Support for Municipal Reuse of Recycled Water," which is not approved. The Board further approves the associated Findings of Fact certified and adopted by the Board. The previously adopted Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program is adopted and is incorporated by reference. In approving the Modified Chloride Compliance Project, the Board also approves the construction and operation of the Modified Chloride Compliance Project.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that the District's Chief Engineer and General Manager is directed to implement the Modified Chloride Compliance Project in the manner set forth in said 2013 EIR as augmented by the Final SEIR and the written findings. The District's Chief Engineer and General Manager is directed to enter into any and all agreements, and to execute any and all applications, instruments, or other documents necessary to obtain approval and permitting for carrying out the Modified Chloride Compliance Project, including any agreements for the implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a meeting held on March 23, 2016, by the following vote:

AYES: _____

NOES: _____

ABSTAIN: _____

ABSENT: _____

ATTEST:

By: _____
Secretary of the Board

By: _____
Chairperson

SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR BRINE CONCENTRATION AND LIMITED TRUCKING

*Findings of Fact and Mitigation Monitoring
and Reporting Program*

FINAL




SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
www.lacsd.org

SCH# 2012011010

MARCH 2016



SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT
SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
FOR BRINE CONCENTRATION
AND LIMITED TRUCKING
FINDINGS OF FACT

SCH# 2012011010

March 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| I. Findings of Fact | 1 |
| Section A. Background..... | 1 |
| Project Goals and Objectives | 3 |
| Planning and Alternative Analysis Process..... | 3 |
| Section B. Description of Project | 4 |
| UV Disinfection Facilities..... | 5 |
| Microfiltration / Reverse Osmosis Facilities..... | 5 |
| Brine Concentration Facilities..... | 5 |
| Brine Trucking | 5 |
| Renewable Energy Facilities | 6 |
| Section C. Environmental Impact Report..... | 6 |
| Section D. Significant Environmental Effects and Mitigation Measures of the Project | 7 |
| Introduction | 7 |
| Air Quality | 8 |
| Biological Resources..... | 9 |
| Cultural Resources | 10 |
| Noise | 11 |
| Section E. Impacts Found to be Less Than Significant Without Mitigation for the Project | 12 |
| Section F. Cumulative and Irreversible Impacts of the Project..... | 12 |
| Cumulative Impacts – Air Quality | 12 |
| Cumulative Impacts - Cultural Resources..... | 13 |
| Growth-Inducing and Growth-Related Impacts | 13 |
| Alternatives Analysis | 13 |
| Irreversible Impacts..... | 14 |
| II. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program | 15 |
| Section A. Introduction..... | 15 |
| Section B. Monitoring and Reporting Procedures..... | 15 |
| Section C. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program Implementation..... | 15 |
| Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program Summary for the Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District Supplemental EIR for Brine Concentration and Limiting Trucking..... | 16 |

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

The Board of Directors (Board) of the Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District (SCVSD) certifies that the Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District Chloride Compliance Facilities Plan and Environmental Impact Report (2013 Facilities Plan and EIR), State Clearinghouse No. 2012011010, with the exclusion of the project component titled “Support for Municipal Reuse of Recycled Water,” in combination with the Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for Brine Concentration and Limited Trucking (SEIR), State Clearinghouse No. 2012011010, has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq. (CEQA). The Board also certifies that the SEIR itself has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq. (CEQA). The 2013 Facilities Plan and EIR and the SEIR (collectively, Augmented EIR) have been presented to the Board, which has reviewed and considered the information contained within the Augmented EIR, together with the oral and written comments of members of the public, the SCVSD’s staff, and other municipalities and public agencies. The SEIR augments the 2013 Facilities Plan and EIR that was certified by the Board on October 28, 2013. The SEIR, the 2013 Facilities Plan and EIR, and other materials that constitute the record of proceedings on which the Board based these findings are located at 1955 Workman Mill Road, Whittier, California 90601. The custodian for these documents is the Facilities Planning Department of the SCVSD. This information is provided in compliance with Public Resources Code Section 21081.6(a)(2) and 14 California Code of Regulations Section 15091(e).

Having received, reviewed, and considered the foregoing information as well as any and all other information in the record, this Board hereby finds that the Augmented EIR and the SEIR reflect the independent judgment of the SCVSD and makes findings in accordance with Public Resources Code Section 21081, which are as follows.

SECTION A. BACKGROUND

The SCVSD, formerly County Sanitation District Nos. 26 and 32 of Los Angeles County, provides wastewater management services to the Santa Clarita Valley (SCV), which is located in the northwestern portion of the county. The SCVSD operates a regional wastewater management system that serves the City of Santa Clarita and a portion of unincorporated Los Angeles County. The system consists of an interconnected network of over 42 miles of trunk sewers, one pumping plant, and two interconnected water reclamation plants (WRPs). The Saugus Water Reclamation Plant (SWRP) and the Valencia Water Reclamation Plant (VWRP) have a combined treatment capacity of 28.1 million gallons per day (mgd) and currently treat approximately 20 mgd of wastewater. The two plants provide tertiary treatment, which produces high quality recycled water that is suitable for reuse. A portion of the recycled water is reused and the remainder is discharged into the upper reaches of the Santa Clara River (SCR).

The SCR supports aquatic species and habitat and recharges the underlying groundwater basin that serves as a water supply. Under the federal Clean Water Act and the state’s Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board – Los Angeles Region (RWQCB-LA) is responsible for regulating discharges to the SCR to protect beneficial uses of the river. In fulfilling this responsibility, the RWQCB-LA adopted the Upper Santa Clara River Chloride Total Maximum Daily Load (Chloride TMDL) in 2002. The Chloride TMDL was

subsequently revised in 2004, 2006, and 2008. The Chloride TMDL imposes a chloride limit of 100 milligrams per liter (mg/L) for the treated water discharged to the SCR from the VWRP and SWRP.

Chloride is naturally present in the drinking water supplied to Santa Clarita homes and businesses. When wastewater leaves homes and businesses and enters the sewer system, the chloride level is higher due to additions from regular human activities. Chloride is also added during the wastewater treatment process – mainly during disinfection. Collectively, these additions cause chloride levels in the treated wastewater to exceed the 100-mg/L limit.

In recent years, chloride levels in the WRP discharges have dropped significantly due to improved source control, largely through the community's removal of over 8,000 automatic water softeners (AWS). Additional efforts to remove the relatively small number of remaining AWS are underway. These reduced chloride levels provide a major benefit by reducing the size and cost of additional treatment facilities needed to comply with the chloride limit. Although chloride levels in the WRP discharges have been reduced, the state's regulation requires further chloride reduction to comply with the 100-mg/L chloride limit and chloride removal facilities are needed to comply with the limit.

The 2013 Facilities Plan and EIR document the technical studies completed to identify the most cost-effective and environmentally-sound methods of meeting the chloride limit. On October 28, 2013, after extensive public input, meetings, hearings, and environmental review, the SCVSD Board of Directors approved the highest-ranked, feasible alternative from the Facilities Plan and certified that the associated EIR was completed in accordance with CEQA.

Under the chloride compliance project approved in 2013 and described in the 2013 Facilities Plan and EIR, the existing chlorine-based disinfection systems at the VWRP and SWRP were to be replaced with ultraviolet light (UV) disinfection facilities and a portion of the VWRP effluent was to receive advanced treatment using microfiltration and reverse osmosis (MF/RO) to reduce chloride levels in the Santa Clarita Valley's treated wastewater and comply with the State-mandated chloride limit for the Santa Clara River. The water that passes through the reverse osmosis membrane is ultra-clean water and the remaining water and salts (including chloride) form a salty water byproduct called brine that requires proper disposal.

Brine was originally to be disposed by deep well injection. Based on public input regarding deep well injection, the SCVSD Board of Directors withdrew the deep well injection proposal and directed staff to investigate alternative deep well sites and additional brine management alternatives. These alternatives include newer technologies such as enhanced brine concentration that reduce the volume of brine requiring disposal and can be located at the VWRP.

Staff reconsidered the alternatives examined in the previously approved Facilities Plan. At this time, neither deep well injection (at a different location) nor a brine pipeline alternative can be implemented by the State's regulatory deadline. Brine concentration with disposal by trucking is the only alternative that can be implemented by the State's deadline. In the 2013 Facilities Plan and EIR, the brine trucking alternative was lowest ranked because of the costs and environmental impacts associated with a high number of truckloads per day required for disposal under that alternative. Since the 2013 Facilities Plan and EIR were completed, enhanced brine concentration technology has been implemented in similar applications and has proven to be effective. This technology could be located at the VWRP and would allow for a 90 percent reduction in the required number of trucks per day, which would greatly reduce environmental impacts. Enhanced brine concentration facilities could also provide environmental benefits by maximizing the

amount of recycled water available for use in the Santa Clarita Valley. Consequently, the SCVSD proposes to modify the chloride compliance project approved in 2013 by replacing brine management by deep well injection with the addition of brine concentration facilities at the VWRP and limited trucking of concentrated brine to an existing industrial facility.

Project Goals and Objectives

The goal of the SEIR is to identify a plan for brine management that meets the project objectives in a cost-effective and environmentally-sound manner. The objectives of the chloride compliance project as revised by the SEIR (Modified Chloride Compliance Project or Project) are to:

- Provide compliance with the State-mandated Chloride TMDL for SCVSD wastewater treatment and discharge facilities by the State's deadlines
- Utilize an existing industrial facility for brine disposal
- Provide a wastewater treatment and effluent management program that accommodates recycled water reuse opportunities in the Santa Clarita Valley while protecting beneficial uses of the Santa Clara River

The 2013 EIR contained a project component titled "Support for Municipal Reuse of Recycled Water" to meet the third objective. This project component is independent from the other project components, which are needed to comply with the Chloride TMDL. In February 2016, the Superior Court ruled that further environmental review of stickleback impacts is necessary, which the SCVSD interprets as only relating to this water reuse component given the newly proposed method of brine management. To avoid delays in meeting the Chloride TMDL compliance deadline, this water reuse component is not part of the Modified Chloride Compliance Project that is currently recommended. This component would be separately considered by the SCVSD Board after further environmental and public review in a separate CEQA document.

Planning and Alternative Analysis Process

The Facilities Plan documents the extensive alternatives analysis that was completed prior to approval of a chloride compliance project in October 2013. This analysis began with identifying a wide range of approaches that would either entirely or partly provide compliance with the Chloride TMDL. Conceptual approaches were evaluated and approaches deemed potentially feasible were further considered. These approaches were then refined in a number of ways such as identifying the type of technology, process configuration, and location for new facilities. Microfiltration/reverse osmosis was found to be the best advanced treatment technology and ultraviolet light (UV) disinfection was found to be the best non-chlorine based disinfection process.

The MF/RO process produces a salty water byproduct called brine that requires proper disposal. A number of brine management approaches were evaluated and three were considered feasible for brine management and further evaluated as final alternatives in the 2013 Facilities Plan and EIR: Alternative 1-conveyance via pipeline to a wastewater treatment plant with an ocean discharge, Alternative 2-deep well injection, and Alternative 3-trucking to a sewer tributary to a wastewater treatment plant with an ocean discharge. Alternative 4 consisted of a watershed-based approach known as the Phased Alternative Water Resources Management Plan (Phased AWRM). All four final alternatives included replacing chlorine-based disinfection with disinfection using

ultraviolet (UV) light, which would reduce chloride levels and otherwise improve effluent quality. Each alternative also included support for municipal reuse of recycled water. However, as described above, this component of the 2013 EIR is no longer part of the Project.

Phased AWRM (Alternative 4) was the highest-ranked alternative in the Facilities Plan and 2013 EIR while the alternative involving brine management by deep well injection (Alternative 2) was second-highest ranked. On October 24, 2013, the SCVSD received a letter from Ventura County stakeholders withdrawing their support for Alternative 4—support that was necessary for that alternative to obtain needed regulatory changes and be viable. Consequently, the alternative involving deep well injection became the highest-ranked, feasible project and was selected as the approved project.

As noted in Section A, deep well injection was later withdrawn, and staff reconsidered the alternatives in the Facilities Plan. At this time, neither deep well injection at a different location nor a brine pipeline alternative can be implemented by the State's regulatory deadline. An alternative involving trucking of concentrated brine is the only alternative that can be implemented within the State's regulatory deadline. Consequently, the SCVSD proposes to modify the chloride compliance project approved in 2013 by replacing brine management by deep well injection with the addition of brine concentration facilities at the VWRP and limited trucking of concentrated brine to an existing industrial facility.

In the SEIR, an enhanced membrane system (EMS) was determined to be the best brine concentration technology. A number of brine disposal locations were evaluated and, ultimately, the following three final alternatives were identified and evaluated:

- Trucking to an Existing Liquid Waste Disposal Station at the Joint Water Pollution Control Plant
- Trucking to an Existing Liquid Waste Disposal Station at the Pomona Water Reclamation Plant
- Further Concentrating the Brine with a Crystallizer and Trucking Solid Brine Salts to a Landfill

These alternatives were evaluated based on environmental, cost and practical factors. The alternative involving the Joint Water Pollution Control Plant was found to be superior.

SECTION B. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

The recommended Modified Chloride Compliance Project consists of several components. Two components (UV disinfection and MF/RO facilities) were analyzed in the 2013 EIR and remain as part of the Project. As described above, the water reuse project component in the 2013 EIR is not part of the Modified Chloride Compliance Project (Project) that is currently recommended. The following new components were evaluated in the SEIR: enhanced brine concentration facilities, brine management by trucking to an existing industrial facility, and onsite renewable energy facilities. Each of these components is described below.

UV Disinfection Facilities

Currently, disinfection at the VWRP and SWRP is accomplished by chlorination, which adds chloride to the effluent of both WRPs. As part of the Project, the existing chlorination system at the VWRP and SWRP would be replaced with UV disinfection facilities that would minimize the addition of chloride during wastewater treatment and produce effluent with improved water quality. The UV disinfection facilities would be located immediately north and northeast of the existing chlorine contact tanks at the VWRP and SWRP, respectively.

Microfiltration / Reverse Osmosis Facilities

MF/RO facilities would be constructed at the VWRP to remove chloride and would be sized such that the blend of the RO product water and non-RO treated water would meet the chloride limit under worst case conditions (drought). At maximum conditions, approximately 8 mgd of VWRP tertiary-treated water would be diverted to the MF/RO facilities for chloride removal. The product water (purified water) from the RO and brine concentration facilities would be blended with the remaining VWRP tertiary-treated water to meet the Chloride TMDL. Brine volume would be minimized by using brine concentration facilities and then disposed by trucking to an existing industrial facility. The MF/RO facilities would be located within the existing footprint of the VWRP, immediately north of the UV disinfection facilities in an area of disturbed, but undeveloped land. No facilities would be developed within the nearby conservation easement.

Brine Concentration Facilities

Brine volume would be minimized using an enhanced membrane system that consists of extensive pretreatment facilities combined with more steps of reverse osmosis (RO) than are provided in a typical RO system. The product water from this system would be blended with tertiary-treated wastewater to meet discharge limits. When the SCVSD treatment plants are running at their combined rated capacity of 28.1 mgd, this system would produce an average of 0.033 mgd (33,000 gallons per day (gpd)) of concentrated brine and a maximum of 0.052 mgd (52,000 gpd) of concentrated brine. This amount of brine would require an average and maximum of 6 and 10 truckloads per day, respectively. Disposal of brine by trucking offsite would result in less than a one percent reduction in the discharge of treated wastewater to the Santa Clara River. Such change is less than the month-to-month variability in wastewater flow produced by the community and represents less than 1 percent of the reduction in discharge compared to the reduction evaluated in the 2013 EIR. When the chloride compliance facilities begin operating in 2019, the wastewater flow to the two WRPs is expected to be 22 mgd (or 80 percent of capacity), resulting in 5 truckloads per day on average, with a maximum of 8 truckloads per day. The brine concentration facilities would be co-located with the MF/RO facilities in the existing footprint of the VWRP, immediately north of the UV disinfection facilities in an area of disturbed, but undeveloped land. No facilities would be developed within the nearby conservation easement.

Brine Trucking

Trucks would be loaded with concentrated brine at a new truck loading station located adjacent to the brine concentration facilities. Concentrated brine would be trucked to an existing industrial facility. The proposed location is the Sanitation Districts' Joint Water Pollution Control Plant (JWPCP) in Carson, which contains authorized disposal stations for trucked wastewater that can accept the SCVSD's brine without modification. Thus, there is no construction proposed at the

JWPCP. As noted above, the Project would require an average of 6 truckloads per day with a maximum of 10 truckloads per day. Trucking would be limited to off-peak hours to avoid morning and evening rush hours.

Renewable Energy Facilities

This project component involves the potential construction of facilities on the VWRP site to generate renewable energy to partly offset the increased power demands of the Project. The facilities analyzed in the SEIR included: 1) solar photovoltaic panels; and 2) a microturbine to generate power from the digester gas produced by the existing anaerobic solids digestion facilities onsite. Although staff does not recommend implementation of this project component at this time due to current cost of electricity versus the cost of electricity from renewable energy facilities, the environmental impacts of this component were analyzed in the SEIR and the impacts presented in these findings account for implementation of this component. Consequently, if the economics do become favorable, the SCVSD could move forward with implementation of this component subject to Board approval and any further CEQA analysis that may be needed.

Three areas for solar panel installation were considered: (1) on top of the VWRP flow equalization basin, (2) on disturbed, but undeveloped land at the south end of the VWRP; and (3) on the roofs of buildings, where feasible. Collectively, such facilities would produce about 700,000 kilowatt-hours per year (kWh/yr).

The microturbine would use the existing biogas (mostly methane) produced at the VWRP's anaerobic digesters to create power. The waste heat from the microturbine would be used to create the steam required for digester heating. The microturbine would be capable of handling 220 cubic feet per minute of digester gas and generating up to 800 kilowatts of electricity (about 6,700,000 kWh/yr). The microturbine would be located in the center of the plant in an area that has already been developed.

SECTION C. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

In conformance with CEQA, the 2013 Facilities Plan and EIR and the SEIR were prepared to assess the environmental impacts of the Project.

The SEIR process began with the mailing of the Notice of Preparation (NOP) to approximately 975 interested parties, including elected officials, government agencies, residents, businesses, and community-based organizations on September 18, 2015. The NOP was also filed with the State Clearinghouse at the Governor's Office of Planning and Research and the Los Angeles County Clerk. The SCVSD accepted public comments on the NOP for 30 days from September 18, 2015 to October 18, 2015.

The SCVSD conducted three public scoping meetings for the NOP:

| | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| October 1, 2015 at 1:30 p.m. | October 1, 2015 at 7 p.m. | October 6, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. |
| City of Santa Clarita | City of Santa Clarita | Stevenson Ranch |
| Activities Center | Activities Center | Elementary School |
| 20880 Centre Point Parkway | 20880 Centre Point Parkway | 25820 Carroll Lane |
| Santa Clarita, CA 92350 | Santa Clarita, CA 92350 | Stevenson Ranch, CA 91381 |

From September 2015 to November 2015, the potential impacts for the Project were analyzed, and a Draft SEIR was prepared. The Draft SEIR was made available for public review and comment on November 17, 2015, for a 53-day review period that ended on January 8, 2016. A Notice of Completion of the Draft SEIR was filed with the State Clearinghouse at the Governor's Office of Planning and Research on November 17, 2015, along with 15 electronic copies of the Draft SEIR and 15 hard copies of the Executive Summary, for distribution to state agencies. The Notice of Availability (NOA) of the Draft SEIR was filed with the Los Angeles County Clerk on November 17, 2015. The NOA was mailed and/or emailed to approximately 975 interested parties. The NOA and Draft SEIR were also made available on the Sanitation Districts' website, and hard copies of these documents were made available for review at the Sanitation Districts headquarters in Whittier, the City of Santa Clarita City Hall Public Counter, the Valencia Library, and the Stevenson Ranch Library.

The SCVSD conducted two public hearings to receive verbal and written comments on the Draft SEIR. A one-hour open house was conducted prior to the public hearings to allow members of the public to discuss the Project with SCVSD staff. Advertisements announcing the following public hearings were placed in the Santa Clarita Valley Signal and Santa Clarita Gazette:

December 10, 2015 at 7:30 p.m.
Santa Clarita Activities Center
20880 Centre Pointe Parkway
Santa Clarita, CA 91350

December 16, 2015 at 7:30 p.m.
Stevenson Ranch Elementary School
25820 Carroll Lane
Stevenson Ranch, CA 91381

Six people provided oral comments at the public hearings and seven written comments were received during the 53-day public review period. Responses to all comments received were prepared and included in the Final SEIR. Based on some of these comments, minor revisions were made in the SEIR and documented therein. These revisions did not involve changes in impact determinations to the Draft SEIR.

SECTION D. SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES OF THE PROJECT

Introduction

As part of the approval of a project and the certification of an EIR or SEIR for that project, CEQA requires the lead agency – in this case, the SCVSD, acting through the Board – to make written findings with respect to each significant environmental impact of the project, accompanied by a brief explanation of the rationale for each finding and any mitigation measures used to reduce or substantially lessen the impact.

The significance of the potential impacts of the Project was determined through application of the appropriate thresholds of significance for each resource area. The following findings address impacts identified in the Augmented EIR as potentially significant without mitigation. The Augmented EIR found that Project implementation would not result in any significant and unavoidable impacts. These findings disclose the nature of the potentially significant impact,

mitigation that has been incorporated into the Project, and the significance of such impacts after mitigation.

Air Quality

Air Quality Impact 3.1-2 from the SEIR

Finding

The 2013 EIR estimated the emissions of criteria pollutants that would result from each of the four final alternatives. The SEIR revised this analysis based on the Modified Chloride Compliance Project. The Final SEIR concludes that construction of the Project could exceed SCAQMD's daily regional threshold for NO_x and could violate or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation.

The SCVSD will implement Mitigation Measure AQ-1 from the 2013 EIR, which will lessen this environmental impact during construction to less than significant.

Mitigation Measure AQ-1: Equipment Tier Requirements. All construction equipment shall meet or exceed Environmental Protection Agency Tier 3 certification requirements when feasible. The contractor shall be required to document efforts to utilize Tier 3 equipment including providing justification when using Tier 3-certified or better equipment is not feasible. At a minimum, diesel-powered construction equipment that meets Tier 2 emission standards shall be used.

With incorporation of Mitigation Measure AQ-1, the Board finds that the identified impact will be less than significant.

Air Quality Impact 3.1-3 from the SEIR

Finding

The 2013 EIR estimated the emissions of criteria pollutants that would result from each of the four final alternatives. The SEIR revised this analysis based on the Modified Chloride Compliance Project. The Final SEIR concludes that construction of the Project would generate a net increase in criteria pollutants, but that the increase would not exceed regional regulatory thresholds with the implementation of identified mitigation measure.

According to the SCAQMD, cumulative air quality impacts for criteria pollutants associated with construction and operational activities are determined by whether a project would result in a significant project-level impact to regional air quality based on SCAQMD significance thresholds. Without mitigation, onsite project-level NO_x emissions associated with construction of the Project could exceed thresholds set by the SCAQMD. When assessed along with emissions from other reasonable foreseeable future projects in the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB) as a whole, the Project could contribute to increases in emissions that would exacerbate existing and projected non-attainment conditions.

The SCVSD will implement Mitigation Measure AQ-1 from the 2013 EIR, which will lessen this environmental impact for construction to less than significant.

Mitigation Measure AQ-1: Equipment Tier Requirements. All construction equipment shall meet or exceed Environmental Protection Agency Tier 3 certification requirements when feasible. The contractor shall be required to document efforts to utilize Tier 3 equipment including providing justification when using Tier 3-certified or better equipment is not feasible. At a minimum, diesel-powered construction equipment that meets Tier 2 emission standards shall be used.

With incorporation of Mitigation Measure AQ-1, the Board finds that the identified impact will be less than significant.

Biological Resources

Biological Resources-Impact 11-1 from the 2013 EIR

Finding

The 2013 EIR assessed the potential for impacts to biological resources from construction at the VWRP and SWRP. The Initial Study prepared for the Final SEIR reassessed these potential impacts with respect to the Modified Chloride Compliance Project.

The construction at the VWRP would occur adjacent to open space containing native habitat that could be used by breeding birds. Existing noise from plant operations, the Old Road and the I-5 freeway, and other neighboring land uses create an existing level of disturbance that birds in the area are accustomed to. Construction of the advanced treatment facilities (including the brine concentration facilities and truck loading station) could generate a higher level of disturbance that could impact nesting birds not accustomed to that level of disturbance. The removal of active nests or harassment of a breeding bird would constitute a significant impact.

The SCVSD will implement Mitigation Measure BIO-1 from the 2013 EIR, which will lessen the potential environmental impact to less than significant.

Mitigation Measure BIO-1: Preconstruction Breeding Bird Surveys. If construction of the advanced treatment facilities (including the brine concentration facilities and truck loading station) will occur during the bird nesting period (typically February 1 through August 31), preconstruction surveys for nesting/roosting bird species shall be conducted by a qualified biologist no more than 5 days prior to the start of construction. The preconstruction surveys shall be limited to areas of native habitat located directly adjacent to and extending up to 500 feet from the construction area. The preconstruction surveys shall include least Bell's vireo (conducted by qualified biologist per USFWS protocol) and species protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, including raptors.

Active nest sites identified during the preconstruction surveys shall be avoided and a non-disturbance buffer zone established as determined by a qualified biologist. Buffer distances shall be 150 feet for common birds, 300 feet for special-status birds, and 500 feet for raptors. The size of individual buffers may be modified based on site-specific conditions and pre-existing disturbance levels (e.g., species-specific information; ambient conditions and birds' lines of sight between the project activities and the nest and foraging areas), as determined by a qualified biologist. Documentation of any buffer zone modifications shall be maintained and submitted to the SCVSD. The buffer zone shall be delineated in the field with flagging, stakes, or construction fencing, and all clearing and

grubbing activities shall remain outside the demarcated area. Nest sites shall be avoided until the adults and young are no longer reliant on the nest site for survival as determined by a qualified biologist.

Project personnel, including all contractors working on site, shall be instructed on the sensitivity of the area. Documentation of all surveys and recommended protective measures shall be maintained by the biologist and provided to the SCVSD on a regular basis.

Cultural Resources

Cultural Resources-Impact 12-2 from the 2013 EIR

Finding

The 2013 EIR assessed the potential for impacts to cultural resources from construction at the VWRP and SWRP. The Initial Study prepared for the Final SEIR concludes that the Modified Chloride Compliance Project would result in no new impacts relative to those discussed in the 2013 EIR.

The construction at VWRP would require limited grading and relatively shallow trenching (less than 10 feet below ground surface) for underground utilities. Potential impacts to significant paleontological resources could occur from excavating or grading more than 10 feet below ground surface. If unique paleontological resources are encountered and destroyed during construction, a significant impact would result.

The SCVSD will implement Mitigation Measures CUL-8 through CUL-11 from the 2013 EIR, which will lessen any environmental impact to less than significant.

Mitigation Measure CUL-8: Qualified Paleontologist. Prior to the start of ground-disturbing activities at depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface, a qualified paleontologist, who could be a California Registered Professional Geologist with appropriate paleontological expertise, shall be retained to carry out all mitigation measures related to paleontological resources. A qualified paleontologist shall be available on an on-call basis throughout ground-disturbing activities.

Mitigation Measure CUL-9: Paleontological Resources Training. Prior to the start of ground-disturbing activities at depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface, all construction forepersons and field supervisors conducting or overseeing subsurface excavations shall be trained in person by a qualified paleontologist to recognize potential fossil materials. All other construction workers shall be trained to recognize paleontological resources, but training may include a video recording of the initial training and/or the use of written materials rather than in-person training. In addition, the training shall describe procedures to follow in the event of a potential fossil discovery.

Mitigation Measure CUL-10: Unanticipated Discovery of Paleontological Resources. If construction or other project personnel discover any potential fossils during ground-disturbing activities, work at the discovery location shall cease and a qualified paleontologist shall be contacted to further assess the discovery and make recommendations as necessary. If treatment and salvage is required, current professional

standards shall be employed. Treatment for fossil remains may include preparation and recovery of fossil materials so that they can be housed in an appropriate museum or university collection. If, as a result of an unanticipated discovery, a qualified paleontologist determines that additional monitoring is warranted, monitoring shall follow the procedures outlined in Mitigation Measure CUL-11.

Mitigation Measure CUL-11: Paleontological Resources Monitoring. If a qualified paleontologist determines that additional monitoring is warranted due to an unanticipated discovery, then a qualified paleontologist, or a paleontological monitor working under the direction of a qualified paleontologist, shall monitor ground-disturbing activities. Paleontological monitoring shall be conducted by a qualified paleontological monitor familiar with the types of paleontological resources that could be encountered within the project area, and under the direct supervision of a qualified paleontologist. Monitoring would consist of periodically inspecting disturbed, graded, and excavated surfaces, as well as soil stockpiles and disposal sites. The duration and timing of monitoring shall be determined by a qualified paleontologist in consultation with the SCVSD. In the event that paleontological resources are unearthed during ground-disturbing activities, a paleontological monitor shall be empowered to halt or redirect ground-disturbing activities within 25 feet of the find so that the find can be evaluated. A paleontological monitor shall keep daily logs, copies of which shall be provided to SCVSD. After monitoring has been completed, a qualified paleontologist shall prepare a monitoring report that details the results of monitoring submission to the SCVSD and to the appropriate repositories.

Construction at the VWRP has the potential to result in the destruction of a unique paleontological resource. With incorporation of Mitigation Measures CUL-8 through CUL-11, the Board finds that the identified potential impact will be less than significant.

Noise

Noise Impact 18-1 from the 2013 EIR

Finding

The 2013 EIR assessed the potential for impacts to biological resources at the VWRP. The Initial Study prepared for the Final SEIR concludes that the Modified Chloride Compliance Project would result in no new impacts relative to those discussed in the 2013 EIR.

The construction at the VWRP would result in a temporary increase in noise levels in the Project area on an intermittent basis. However, there are no sensitive receptors within 1.5 miles of the VWRP and construction noise would be below the level allowed by the Los Angeles County noise ordinance. Thus, the impact would be less than significant without mitigation. Nonetheless, the SCVSD has voluntarily chosen to implement Mitigation Measure NOISE-1 from the 2013 EIR to limit the Project's noise impacts.

Mitigation Measure NOISE-1: Noise Reduction Measures. During construction, the contractor shall be required to implement the following measures as necessary to ensure compliance with applicable construction noise ordinances:

- All construction equipment, fixed or mobile, shall be outfitted with properly operating and maintained exhaust and intake mufflers, consistent with manufacturers' standards.
- Impact tools (e.g., jack hammers, etc.) used for construction shall be hydraulically or electrically powered when feasible to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools. When use of pneumatic tools is necessary, an exhaust muffler on the compressed air exhaust shall be used. External jackets on the tools themselves shall be used when feasible. Quieter procedures, such as use of drills rather than impact tools, shall be used whenever feasible.
- Stationary noise sources shall be located as far from adjacent receptors as possible.

SECTION E. IMPACTS FOUND TO BE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITHOUT MITIGATION FOR THE PROJECT

The Augmented EIR concludes that those potential impacts of the Project that are not discussed in Section D are, for the reasons set forth in the 2013 EIR or SEIR, less than significant and that mitigation measures are not required. For the same reasons, the Board finds that these impacts are less than significant and that mitigation measures are not required with respect to these impacts.

SECTION F. CUMULATIVE AND IRREVERSIBLE IMPACTS OF THE PROJECT

The SEIR analyzes the potential for the Project to have significant cumulative environmental effects when combined with other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects in each resource area's geographic scope. According to the California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3 (CEQA Guidelines), sections 15130[a] and [b], the analysis of cumulative impacts is to provide a discussion of significant cumulative impacts that reflect "the severity of the impacts and their likelihood of occurrence."

Cumulative Impacts – Air Quality

Construction of the Project would generate short-term emissions of criteria pollutants and toxic air contaminants, including suspended and inhalable particulate matter and equipment exhaust emissions. Other projects located within the SCAB could contribute to cumulative air quality impacts depending on when those projects are constructed. The implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-1 from the 2013 EIR and the Project's compliance with SCAQMD Rule 403 would limit construction dust and minimize vehicle and equipment emissions. The SCAQMD has established construction emission levels above which a project is considered to cause a regionally significant impact (regional significance thresholds). With the proposed mitigation, construction of the Project would result in emissions that are below the SCAQMD's regional significance thresholds. Therefore, air quality impacts from construction of the Project would, by definition,

not be cumulatively considerable and the Project would not result in a significant cumulative impact to air quality.

Cumulative Impacts - Cultural Resources

Construction of the Project, in combination with other projects in the geographic scope for cumulative cultural resources impacts (VWRP, SWRP, JWPCP and immediately adjacent areas) could potentially destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature. There is no construction proposed at the JWPCP. There is a low likelihood of encountering cultural resources within the VWRP and SWRP because they have been highly disturbed due to past construction activities. In addition, record searches of the areas near the VWRP and SWRP revealed that no cultural resources have been found within one-half mile of either WRP. Nonetheless, Mitigation Measures CUL-8 through CUL-11 from the 2013 EIR would be implemented to further reduce the risk of impacting paleontological resources. Collectively, the cultural impacts from the Project and other projects in the geographic scope for cumulative cultural resources impacts would not be cumulatively considerable and the Project would not result in a significant cumulative impact on cultural resources.

Growth-Inducing and Growth-Related Impacts

The Project would not include direct growth inducement through, for example, the construction of housing. Project construction is not expected to create substantial or sustained employment opportunities beyond the level normally available to construction workers in the area. In general, workers required for construction activities are expected to be drawn from the local labor pool. The operation of the Project would require approximately 13 new employees. Therefore, the Project would have negligible direct or indirect impacts on growth.

The primary objective of the Project is to make SCVSD's wastewater treatment and discharge facilities compliant with the Regional Water Quality Control Board's Chloride TMDL. The Project would reduce chloride levels in the treated water discharged by the SCVSD to the Santa Clara River through the use of advanced treatment. The Project would not increase SCVSD's capacity to treat wastewater and would not remove any obstacle to growth. The Project would not directly or indirectly induce growth. Accordingly, the Project would not result in any secondary effects on growth.

Alternatives Analysis

A detailed alternatives analysis was completed and documented in Section 6 of the 2013 Facilities Plan and EIR. When staff was directed to investigate additional brine management alternatives, the SCVSD revisited its prior analyses and updated its assessment of brine minimization (concentration) technology based upon recent developments as documented in Section 6 of the SEIR. Trucking with brine concentration was determined to be the only alternative capable of meeting the State-mandated compliance deadline (and first project objective). The superior brine concentration technology was identified and a large number of existing industrial sites were evaluated as potential locations for brine disposal. Ultimately, the JWPCP was determined to be the superior location.

Section 15126.6 of the CEQA Guidelines requires that an EIR or SEIR evaluate a reasonable range of alternatives to the proposed project that could attain the basic objectives of the project but would avoid or reduce significant environmental effects of the project. Section 6 of the SEIR fully analyzes potential alternatives to the Project. Because the Project would not result in any significant unavoidable impacts, there is no requirement to further evaluate alternatives as a way to lessen impacts of the Project.

Irreversible Impacts

The Project would require the use of nonrenewable resources such as fossil fuels and non-renewable construction materials during construction and operation. Fossil fuels in the form of diesel oil and gasoline would be used for construction equipment and vehicles. Electricity, which requires the burning of fossil fuels, would also be consumed during construction and operation. These energy resources would be irretrievable and irreversible. However, the non-recoverable materials that would be used during construction and operation activities would be accommodated by existing supplies. Although the increase in the amount of materials used would be limited, they would nevertheless be unavailable for other uses.

The Project would make SCVSD wastewater treatment and discharge facilities compliant with the Regional Water Quality Control Board's Chloride TMDL and would provide a wastewater treatment and effluent management program that accommodates recycled water reuse opportunities in the community while protecting beneficial uses of the SCR. Therefore, the irreversible changes caused by the Project are acceptable in light of the overall benefits of the Project.

II. MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

SECTION A. INTRODUCTION

CEQA Section 21081.6 requires a lead agency – in this case, the SCVSD – to adopt a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) when approving or carrying out a project. The purpose of the MMRP is to ensure that if an EIR or SEIR identifies measures to reduce potential adverse environmental impacts to less than significant levels, then those measures will be implemented as described in the environmental document. As the lead agency and pursuant to Section 21081.6, the SCVSD is responsible for implementation of this MMRP. The MMRP will ensure that each mitigation measure identified for the Modified Chloride Compliance Project as described in the Findings of Fact (Project) is successfully implemented. All mitigation measures will be incorporated into the engineering specifications for the Project and contractor compliance will be verified through submittals or field inspections during construction.

SECTION B. MONITORING AND REPORTING PROCEDURES

The measures in this MMRP are only applicable to the construction phase of the Project. The SCVSD will be responsible for administering the MMRP and ensuring that all parties comply with its provisions. The SCVSD may delegate implementation and monitoring activities to staff, consultants, or contractors. The SCVSD will ensure that compliance is documented through updates of the table in Section C. A designated monitor will track and document compliance with mitigation measures, note any problems that may result, and take appropriate action to promptly correct any deficiencies.

SECTION C. MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

Pursuant to CEQA Section 21081.6, this MMRP was prepared and will be used to verify compliance with individual mitigation measures. The MMRP table lists each mitigation measure for the Project, the appropriate timing for implementation of the mitigation, and the party responsible for its implementation. The table also includes a verification column to document that the mitigation has been implemented.

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM SUMMARY FOR
THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT SUPPLEMENTAL EIR FOR BRINE CONCENTRATION AND LIMITING TRUCKING**

| Mitigation Measure | Timing | Implementing Party | Verification of Compliance | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | Date | Signature Name Title |
| Air Quality | | | | |
| MM AQ-1: Equipment Tier Requirements. All construction equipment shall meet or exceed Environmental Protection Agency Tier 3 certification requirements when feasible. The contractor shall be required to document efforts to utilize Tier 3 equipment including providing justification when using Tier 3 certified or better equipment is not feasible. At a minimum, diesel-powered construction equipment that meets Tier 2 emission standards shall be used. | During Construction | SCVSD Construction Contractor | | |
| Biological Resources | | | | |
| MM BIO-1: Preconstruction Breeding Bird Surveys. If construction of the advanced treatment facilities (including the brine concentration facilities and truck loading station) will occur during the bird nesting period (typically February 1 through August 31), preconstruction surveys for nesting/roosting bird species shall be conducted by a qualified biologist no more than 5 days prior to the start of construction. The preconstruction surveys shall be limited to areas of native habitat located directly adjacent to and extending up to 500 feet from the construction area. The preconstruction surveys shall include least Bell's vireo (conducted by qualified biologist per USFWS protocol) and species protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, including raptors. Active nest sites identified during the preconstruction surveys shall be avoided and a non-disturbance buffer zone established as determined by a qualified biologist. Buffer distances shall be 150 feet for common birds, 300 feet for special-status birds, and 500 feet for raptors. The size of individual buffers may be modified based on site-specific conditions and pre-existing disturbance levels (e.g., species-specific information; ambient conditions and birds' lines of sight between the project activities and the nest and foraging areas), as determined by a qualified biologist. Documentation of any buffer zone modifications shall be maintained and submitted to the SCVSD. The buffer zone shall be delineated in the field with flagging, stakes, or construction fencing, and all clearing and grubbing activities shall remain outside the demarcated area. Nest sites shall be avoided until the adults and young are no longer reliant on the nest site for survival as determined by a qualified biologist. Project personnel, including all contractors working on site, shall be instructed on the sensitivity of the area. Documentation of all surveys and recommended protective measures shall be maintained by the biologist and provided to the SCVSD on a regular basis. | Prior to Construction; During Construction | SCVSD Qualified Biologist | | |
| Cultural Resources | | | | |
| MM CUL-8: Qualified Paleontologist. Prior to the start of ground-disturbing activities at depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface, a qualified paleontologist, who could be a California Registered Professional Geologist with appropriate paleontological expertise, shall be retained to carry out all mitigation measures related to paleontological resources. A qualified paleontologist shall be available on an on-call basis throughout ground-disturbing activities. | Prior to Construction; During Construction | SCVSD Qualified Paleontologist | | |

| Mitigation Measure | Timing | Implementing Party | Verification of Compliance | |
|--|---|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | Date | Signature Name Title |
| MM CUL-9: Paleontological Resources Training. Prior to the start of ground-disturbing activities at depths greater than 10 feet below ground surface, all construction forepersons and field supervisors conducting or overseeing subsurface excavations shall be trained in person by a qualified paleontologist to recognize potential fossil materials. All other construction workers shall be trained to recognize paleontological resources, but training may include a video recording of the initial training and/or the use of written materials rather than in-person training. In addition, the training shall describe procedures to follow in the event of a potential fossil discovery. | Prior to Construction; During Construction | SCVSD Qualified Paleontologist Construction Contractor | | |
| MM CUL-10: Unanticipated Discovery of Paleontological Resources. If construction or other project personnel discover any potential fossils during ground-disturbing activities, work at the discovery location shall cease and a qualified paleontologist shall be contacted to further assess the discovery and make recommendations as necessary. If treatment and salvage is required, current professional standards shall be employed. Treatment for fossil remains may include preparation and recovery of fossil materials so that they can be housed in an appropriate museum or university collection. If, as a result of an unanticipated discovery, a qualified paleontologist determines that additional monitoring is warranted, monitoring shall follow the procedures outlined in Mitigation Measure CUL-11. | During Construction | SCVSD Qualified Paleontologist Construction Contractor | | |
| MM CUL-11: Paleontological Resources Monitoring. If a qualified paleontologist determines that additional monitoring is warranted due to an unanticipated discovery, then a qualified paleontologist, or a paleontological monitor working under the direction of a qualified paleontologist, shall monitor ground-disturbing activities. Paleontological monitoring shall be conducted by a qualified paleontological monitor familiar with the types of paleontological resources that could be encountered within the project area, and under the direct supervision of a qualified paleontologist. Monitoring would consist of periodically inspecting disturbed, graded, and excavated surfaces, as well as soil stockpiles and disposal sites. The duration and timing of monitoring shall be determined by a qualified paleontologist in consultation with the Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District (SCVSD). In the event that paleontological resources are unearthed during ground-disturbing activities, a paleontological monitor shall be empowered to halt or redirect ground-disturbing activities within 25 feet of the find so that the find can be evaluated. A paleontological monitor shall keep daily logs, copies of which shall be provided to SCVSD. After monitoring has been completed, a qualified paleontologist shall prepare a monitoring report that details the results of monitoring submission to the SCVSD and to the appropriate repositories. | During Construction | SCVSD Qualified Paleontologist Construction Contractor | | |

| Mitigation Measure | Timing | Implementing Party | Verification of Compliance | |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | Date | Signature Name Title |
| Noise | | | | |
| <p>MM-NOISE-1: Noise Reduction Measures. During construction, the contractor shall be required to implement the following measures as necessary to ensure compliance with applicable construction noise ordinances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All construction equipment, fixed or mobile, shall be outfitted with properly operating and maintained exhaust and intake mufflers, consistent with manufacturers' standards. • Impact tools (e.g., jack hammers, etc.) used for construction shall be hydraulically or electrically powered when feasible to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools. When use of pneumatic tools is necessary, an exhaust muffler on the compressed air exhaust shall be used. External jackets on the tools themselves shall be used when feasible. Quieter procedures, such as use of drills rather than impact tools, shall be used whenever feasible. • Stationary noise sources shall be located as far from adjacent receptors as possible. | During Construction | SCVSD Construction Contractor | | |

TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF SANTA CLARITA VALLEY
SANITATION DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

RE: AGENDA ITEM NO. 5(a)

FOR BOARD MEETING March 23, 2016

BIDS were received at the District Office on March 1, 2016

FOR VALENCIA WATER RECLAMATION PLANT RETAINING WALL EXTENSION

No. of Bids Received: 5

| <u>BIDDER</u> | <u>TOTAL BID</u> |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Hayward Baker Inc. | <u>\$6,685,250.00</u> |
| Advanced Geosolutions, Inc. | <u>\$6,947,240.00*</u> |
| Condon-Johnson & Associates, Inc. | <u>\$9,399,200.00</u> |
| Shimmick Construction Company, Inc. | <u>\$12,429,400.00</u> |
| Icon West, Inc. | <u>\$12,903,460.00</u> |

*Submitted incomplete bid rendering its bid unresponsive.

RECOMMENDATION:

Award contract to Hayward Baker Inc., low bidder, in the amount of \$6,685,250.00.

Engineer's Estimate: \$7,500,000



Grace Robinson Hyde
Chief Engineer and
General Manager

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING DIRECTING THE SPECIFIC INVESTMENT OF EXCESS DISTRICT FUNDS BY THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors ("Board") of Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County ("District") has excess funds available for investment by the office of the Los Angeles County Treasurer and Tax Collector ("Treasurer"); and

WHEREAS, specific investment objectives of the District may require that all or a portion of these funds be invested in instruments other than the Los Angeles County Pooled Surplus Investment fund; and

WHEREAS, the Board is aware that the Treasurer, upon request and with approval of the Board, will make specific investments at the request of and on behalf of the District pursuant to a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU"); and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that it is desirable for the Treasurer, on behalf of the District, to make certain specific investments at the District's request. In making this determination, the Board is aware that the investment of excess funds in specific investments represent a commitment of such funds for a designated period of time; and

WHEREAS, the Board acknowledges and fully understands that a request by the District directing the Treasurer to liquidate a specific investment prior to the investment's maturity date may result in a loss of principal and/or anticipated income as a result of such liquidation; and

WHEREAS, the Board hereby agrees to the payment of fees to the Treasurer for services related to the investment of excess funds, as detailed in the Memorandum of Understanding, Attachment A, "Los Angeles County Treasurer Specific Purpose Investment Fee Schedule," which may be amended from time to time.

WHEREAS, the following District positions and their designees, if applicable, are designated and authorized to commit District funds to be specifically invested by the Treasurer, until this designation is revoked or amended by the Board and delivered to the Treasurer in writing:

Authorized Position(s)

Chief Engineer & General Manager

Assistant Chief Engineer & Assistant General Manger

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The names, titles, and specimen signatures of the persons currently holding the positions designated herein and contained in the Memorandum of Understanding, Attachment B, "Authorized List of Designees," are authorized to make specific investments of the District's excess funds with the Treasurer. The Board Secretary shall revise and deliver to the Treasurer any changes to Attachment B as they occur. The Treasurer may rely on the latest authorized list received from the District.

SECTION 2. The District agrees to indemnify the County, Treasurer, their employees and representatives, and hold them harmless against, any loss of principal or interest, liability or expense incurred without gross negligence or bad faith on the Treasurer's part, arising out of or in connection with its acceptance or administration of its duties hereunder.

SECTION 3. Approval of the form of Memorandum of Understanding for the investment of funds in specific instruments, substantially in the form attached.

SECTION 4. The District has its own Investment Policy.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this ____ day of _____, 2016 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTENTIONS:

SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION
DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

ATTEST:

By _____
Secretary to the Board

By _____
Chairperson, Board of Directors

**RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF
SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
APPROVING A JOINT COMMUNITY FACILITIES AGREEMENT
FOR THE FIVE KNOLLS DEVELOPMENT**

Synergy-Brookfield LLC, a California limited liability company, or related entities (together “Brookfield”) serves as the master developer of certain real property (the “Property”) in the Santa Clarita Valley commonly referred to as the Five Knolls Development.

The Property is not currently within the service boundaries of the Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County (the “Sanitation District”), however, proceedings have been instituted pursuant to state law to annex the Property into the service boundaries of the Sanitation District.

The Property is located within the boundaries of the William S. Hart Union High School District (the “School District”). Pursuant to the Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act of 1982 (the “Act”) and Brookfield’s petition, the Governing Board of the School District has established Community Facilities District No. 2015-1 (the “Community Facilities District”), the boundaries of which include the Property.

Pursuant to the Act, the qualified electors of the Community Facilities District have authorized the levy of special taxes upon the land within the Community Facilities District and have authorized the issuance of bonds secured by the Special Taxes, the proceeds of which are to be used to finance certain public facilities.

The facilities proposed to be financed by the Community Facilities District include certain school facilities to be owned and operated by the School District (the “School District Facilities”), certain facilities to be owned and operated by the Sanitation District (the “Sanitation District Facilities”) and certain facilities to be owned and operated by certain other public agencies (the “Other Agency Facilities”).

Section 53316.2 of the Act provides that a community facilities district may finance facilities to be owned or operated by a public agency other than the agency that created the community facilities district only pursuant to a joint community facilities agreement or a joint exercise of powers agreement adopted pursuant to said Section.

Section 53316.2 of the Act further provides that at any time prior to the adoption of the resolution of formation creating a community facilities district or a resolution of change to alter a district, or a resolution or resolutions authorizing issuance of bonds pursuant to Section 53356 of the Act, the legislative bodies of two or more local agencies may enter into a joint community facilities agreement pursuant to Sections 53316.2, 53316.4, and 53316.6 of the Act to exercise any power authorized by the Act with respect to the community facilities district being created if the legislative body of each entity adopts a resolution declaring that the joint agreement would be beneficial to the residents of that entity.

Development of the Property will require the payment of certain sewerage system connection fees (the "Connection Fees") to the Sanitation District.

The School District, the Community Facilities District, the Sanitation District and Brookfield desire to enter into a Joint Community Facilities Agreement in accordance with Sections 53316.2, 53316.4, and 53316.6 of the Act in order to provide for the financing of the Sanitation District Facilities through the issuance of Bonds by the Community Facilities District.

The Board of Directors of Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County therefore resolves as follows:

Pursuant to Section 53316.2 of the Act, the Board of Directors hereby approves the Joint Community Facilities Agreement substantially in the form on file with the Secretary of the Board, and determines that the Joint Community Facilities Agreement will be beneficial to the residents of the territory served by Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County and included within the jurisdictional boundaries of the Community Facilities District.

The Chief Engineer and General Manager and the Secretary of the Board of Directors, on behalf of the District, are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver the Joint Community Facilities Agreement in the form presented at this meeting with any changes, insertions and omissions as may further the purposes of the Joint Community Facilities Agreement.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of the Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County on _____.

SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION
DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

By: _____
Chairperson, Board of Directors

ATTEST:

Secretary



COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

1955 Workman Mill Road, Whittier, CA 90601-1400
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 4998, Whittier, CA 90607-4998
Telephone: (562) 699-7411, FAX: (562) 699-5422
www.lacsd.org

GRACE ROBINSON HYDE
Chief Engineer and General Manager

March 16, 2016

Board of Directors
Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District
of Los Angeles County

Directors:

Wastewater Revenue Programs For Fiscal Year 2016-17

The agenda for the March 23, 2016 meeting of the Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District Board of Directors contains an item regarding the sewerage system service charge program. **The Board has already adopted the service charge rate for fiscal year 2016-17, as discussed later in this letter. In order to continue to collect these charges on the next property tax roll the Board must take certain actions.** Specifically, pursuant to state law, the Board must: (1) order a service charge report be filed with the District Clerk, (2) establish the date, time, and place for a public hearing on the report, and (3) direct the publication of the required notices. This letter provides a review of the adopted rate, the preliminary budget for fiscal year 2016-17, and upcoming significant capital expenditures.

Service Charge Rates Background

The State, pursuant to state and federal law, is requiring the District to comply with strict chloride (salt) discharge limits into the Santa Clara River. The original schedule, as promulgated by the State, would have required the District to be in full compliance by May 2015, an impossible task that would have resulted in substantial fines being levied. The State was willing to extend the compliance deadline if the District showed good faith efforts by adopting rates that would fully fund a chloride compliance project. Because the District will be maximizing the use of State Revolving Fund (SRF) loans to allow the cost of the chloride compliance project to be spread over a greater number of years, this meant that the District had to adopt rates that were sufficient to provide for the continued operation and maintenance of existing facilities and to pay for the debt service associated with the loans.

Repayment of SRF loans does not commence until one year after construction is complete, providing a six year window in which to ramp up the rates. As such, on July 7, 2014, the Board adopted service charge rates for six fiscal years (2014-15 through 2019-20). Prior to service charge rates being adopted two years ago, the District engaged the community in extensive outreach that consisted of: individual notices mailed to every property owner in the District connected to the sewerage system, three full page ads in the Santa Clarita Valley Signal newspaper, six information meetings throughout the Valley, three tours of the Valencia Water Reclamation Plant (WRP), six meetings with business and industry groups, two meetings with town council/advisory committees, and a public hearing. Based on that action, the compliance deadline was deferred until July 1, 2019.

The service charge rate for the current fiscal year is \$23.92 per month per single-family home (\$287 per year). The service charge rate for 2016-17, as previously adopted by the Board, is \$25.58 per month (\$307 per year), an increase of \$1.67 per month over the 2015-16 rate. Multi-family residential

units will pay 60% of the adopted single-family home rate and condominiums will pay 75% of the adopted single-family home rate. Likewise, commercial and industrial dischargers will pay in proportion to their use of the regional wastewater management system compared to a single-family home. Dischargers with verified low water usage (particularly seniors and retirees) may also qualify for a reduced charge.

Prior to setting rates in July 2014, the District applied for and received a \$2.5 million Proposition 84 grant for the Saugus Water Reclamation Plant (WRP) ultraviolet (UV) Disinfection Facilities. District staff subsequently obtained an additional \$5 million Proposition 84 grant for the Valencia WRP UV Disinfection Facilities. Staff is also evaluating opportunities to secure funding from Proposition 1 (Water Quality, Supply, and Infrastructure Improvement Act of 2014), including participation in workshops and meeting with State staff to determine eligibility and disbursement mechanisms.

Significant Capital Expenditures

Although the chloride compliance project will dominate the capital budget over the next several years, there are other projects the District must undertake to ensure the continued cost-effective operation of the treatment system. This includes the construction of a retaining wall along the edge of the Valencia WRP to prevent further erosion by the Santa Clara River and to help stabilize the property from ground movement. For FY 2016-17, \$7.5 million has been budgeted toward the design and construction of this retaining wall. Additional capital expenditures include \$1.8 for completion of the improvements to the Valencia WRP process air system and \$1.2 million for upgrades to the Valencia WRP power distribution system.

Budget for Fiscal Year 2016-17

Enclosed for your review is the preliminary budget for fiscal year 2016-2017 and a list of capital projects that impact the budget. The budget provides a comparison with the current 2015-16 budget and a breakdown of the items included in the budget. In preparing the budget, it is projected that the general revenue sources available to the District for meeting expenses during the coming fiscal year include cash on hand, capital improvement fund monies, a pro rata share of the ad valorem (property) taxes, grants, state low-interest loans, an internal loan from the capital improvement fund, industrial wastewater surcharge, interest income, and contract revenue. The supplemental revenue required to meet expenses will be collected through the service charges. The service charge will also support the District's targeted goal for reserves of six months of O&M and one year of debt service.

A final budget will be presented to the Board for consideration in June as part of the overall budgetary process, adoption of which will authorize budget appropriations. In addition, the Board will be asked at that time to establish by resolution the amount of the tax levy the District will request from the Los Angeles County Tax Collector, as well as the State limit on authorized expending of tax proceeds, administrative actions required of all agencies that receive ad valorem taxes.

The budget has been prepared in accordance with the Joint Administration Agreement. This agreement allocates Joint Administration costs to each signatory District according to the ratio of the number of sewage units in a District to the total number of sewage units in all the Districts signatory to the agreement. A sewage unit represents the average daily sewage flow and strength (measured in terms of chemical oxygen demand and suspended solids) from a single-family home. This method of allocating costs considers flow as well as the strength of sewage from all types of users and is the most equitable way to distribute Joint Administration costs.

Summary of Required Actions

State law requires the Board of Directors to order a Service Charge Report (copy attached) to be filed with the District Clerk and to instruct the District Clerk to publish newspaper notices to inform the public of the date, time, and place for a public hearing on the Service Charge Report. It is recommended that the public hearing be set for April 18, 2016 at 6:00 PM in the Santa Clarita City Council Chambers, 23920 Valencia Boulevard, Santa Clarita, CA 91355. After the public hearing is closed, the Board must adopt the Service Charge Report in order to ensure collection of the service charge through property tax billing.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Grace R. Hyde". The signature is written in a cursive style.

Grace Robinson Hyde

GRH:ew
Enclosures

SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

SEWERAGE SYSTEM PRELIMINARY BUDGET FOR 2016-17

REQUIREMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR

| <u>APPROPRIATION:</u> | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| O&M - Joint Administration | \$ 988,000 | \$ 1,073,000 |
| O&M - Technical Services | 3,151,000 | 3,354,000 |
| O&M - Local District Sewers | 646,000 | 655,000 |
| O&M - Treatment Facilities | 16,823,000 | 17,247,000 |
| Capital - Joint Administration | 247,000 | 87,000 |
| Capital - Local Capital | 2,810,000 | 10,950,000 |
| Capital - Chloride Compliance | 8,650,000 | 16,460,000 |
| Bond Repayment | 3,321,000 | 3,318,000 |
| Loan Repayment | 3,282,000 | 3,282,000 |
| Capital Improvement Fund Loan Repayment | 736,000 | 736,000 |
| Contingency for Emergency | <u>1,000,000</u> | <u>1,000,000</u> |
| | \$ 41,654,000 | \$ 58,162,000 |
| CASH FLOW REQUIREMENT (for period JULY 1 THROUGH DECEMBER 31) | <u>16,768,000</u> | <u>25,444,000</u> |
| TOTAL BUDGET: | \$ 58,422,000 | \$ 83,606,000 |

ESTIMATED CASH AND REVENUE:

| | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Cash on Hand July 1 | \$ 16,812,000 | \$ 17,768,000 |
| Transfer from Capital Improvement Fund | 4,792,000 | 4,790,000 |
| Taxes | 6,276,000 | 6,402,000 |
| Grants | - | 979,000 |
| Service Charge | 26,648,000 | 29,244,000 |
| Loan - From State Revolving Fund (SRF) | - | 8,546,000 |
| Loan - From Capital Improvement Fund (CIF) | - | 5,000,000 |
| Industrial Waste | 453,000 | 469,000 |
| Interest and Contracts | 1,960,000 | 2,122,000 |
| Transfer from Rate Stabilization Fund | <u>1,481,000</u> | <u>8,286,000</u> |
| TOTAL RESOURCES: | \$ 58,422,000 | \$ 83,606,000 |

SERVICE CHARGE CALCULATION

| | | |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Number of Sewage Units | 92,849 | 95,256 |
| Charge Per Sewage Unit | \$ 287.00 | \$ 307.00 |

**SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
JULY 1, 2016 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2017**

PROPOSED CAPITAL PROJECTS

JOINT ADMINISTRATION: \$ 87,000

LOCAL:

| | | |
|---|----------------|---------------|
| 1. Valencia WRP Retaining Wall | \$ 7,500,000 | |
| 2. Valencia WRP North Process Air System Improvements | 1,800,000 | |
| 3. Valencia WRP Power Distribution System Modifications | 1,200,000 | |
| 4. Saugus WRP Mixed Liquor Emulsion Polymer Station | 150,000 | |
| 5. Miscellaneous Capital Projects | <u>300,000</u> | |
| | | \$ 10,950,000 |

CHLORIDE COMPLIANCE PROJECT:

| | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1. Advanced Treatment Facilities | \$ 11,900,000 | |
| 2. Valencia WRP UV Disinfection | 2,900,000 | |
| 3. Saugus WRP UV Disinfection | 1,300,000 | |
| 4. Legal and Engineering Support | <u>360,000</u> | |
| | | \$ 16,460,000 |

TOTAL PROPOSED CAPITAL PROJECTS: \$ 27,497,000

SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
JULY 1, 2016 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2017

| | Fiscal Year 2016-17 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Rate Stabilization Fund | |
| Beginning Balance | \$ 18,456,000 |
| Interest | 115,000 |
| Expenses | <u>(8,286,000)</u> |
| Ending Balance | \$ 10,285,000 |

SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT SERVICE CHARGE REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016-17

INTRODUCTION

Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County encompasses a portion of the city of Santa Clarita and unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District provides wastewater management services for the area described above. The District is responsible for the operation and maintenance of the Saugus and Valencia Water Reclamation Plants and the large trunk sewers which convey wastewater to these facilities. These services are essential to protect the public health of the people served by the system.

Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District must provide the revenue required to operate and maintain its facilities. The general revenue sources currently available to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District include cash on hand, capital improvement fund monies, a pro rata share of the ad valorem (property) taxes, grants, state low-interest loans, an internal loan from the capital improvement fund, industrial wastewater surcharge, interest income, and contract revenue. For fiscal year 2016-17, the proposed expenditures exceed these revenue sources and necessitate a service charge to supplement the other revenue sources. The service charge will also support the District's targeted goal for reserves of six months of O&M and one year of debt service.

PROPOSED SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The required supplemental revenue under the Service Charge Ordinance will be allocated among classes of developed parcels of real property on the basis of use of the sewerage system. The revenue derived from the service charge will be used for operation and maintenance and capital costs.

All industrial dischargers in Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District discharging more than 1.0 million gallons per year are required to file a wastewater surcharge statement as prescribed in the Wastewater Ordinance to pay their appropriate share of the costs based on their use of the sewerage system. All other users of the sewerage system, except for contractual and local government users, will pay service charges.

The basic term used to define the service charge is a sewage unit. A sewage unit represents the average daily quantity of sewage flow and strength from a single-family home measured in terms of flow, chemical oxygen demand, and suspended solids. The number of sewage units (SU) per unit of measure shall be determined by the following formula:

$$SU = A \left(\frac{FLOW_{avg}}{FLOW_{sfh}} \right) + B \left(\frac{COD_{avg}}{COD_{sfh}} \right) + C \left(\frac{SS_{avg}}{SS_{sfh}} \right)$$

where:

A = The proportion of the total operation and maintenance and capital costs required for conveyance, treatment, and disposal of wastewater for the fiscal year which is attributable to flow;

B = The proportion of the total operation and maintenance and capital costs required for conveyance, treatment, and disposal of wastewater for the fiscal year which is attributable to COD;

C = The proportion of the total operation and maintenance and capital costs required for conveyance, treatment, and disposal of wastewater for the fiscal year which is attributable to suspended solids;

FLOW_{sfh} = Average flow of wastewater from a single-family home in gallons per day;

- COD_{sfn} = Average loading of COD in the wastewater from a single-family home in pounds per day;
- SS_{sfn} = Average loading of suspended solids in the wastewater from a single-family home in pounds per day;
- FLOW_{avg} = Estimated flow of wastewater which will enter the sewerage system from a user in gallons per day;
- COD_{avg} = Estimated loading of COD which will enter the sewerage system from a user in pounds per day;
- SS_{avg} = Estimated loading of suspended solids which will enter the sewerage system from a user in pounds per day.

For fiscal year 2016-17, the proportions of the total operation and maintenance and capital costs attributable to flow, chemical oxygen demand, and suspended solids have been assigned the following values:

| | | |
|---|---|--------|
| A | = | 0.2798 |
| B | = | 0.3239 |
| C | = | 0.3963 |

The service charge for each sewage unit is determined by dividing the total required supplemental revenue by the total number of sewage units in the District. The service charge for each parcel is determined by multiplying the service charge for each sewage unit by the number of sewage units attributable to the parcel, which in turn, is determined by the class of real property and the sewage unit formula and the flow, COD and SS loadings from Table 1, which shows the corresponding value for each class of real property in Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District.

Based upon presently anticipated sources of funds, the service charge rate for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$307 per sewage unit. Parcels with significantly lower water usage may be eligible for a reduced rate pursuant to the terms of the Master Service Charge Ordinance of Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County.

DESCRIPTION OF PARCELS RECEIVING SERVICES AND IDENTIFICATION OF AMOUNT OF CHARGE FOR EACH PARCEL

All parcels of real property within the 2016-17 Tax Rate Areas as shown in Table 2 are receiving or benefiting from the services and facilities of Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District. Additionally, the parcels listed in Table 3, which do not fall within the Tax Rate Areas shown in Table 2, are receiving or benefiting from the services and facilities Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District. Said parcels are more particularly described in maps prepared in accordance with Section 327, Revenue and Taxation Code, which are on file in the office of the County Assessor, which maps are hereby incorporated herein by reference.

All commercial and institutional parcels assessed a service charge based on the Los Angeles County Assessor's current tax roll shall be charged on the basis of not less than one (1.0) sewage unit.

No charge shall be imposed on the owner or owners of any parcels as to which the fair market value of improvements is less than \$1,000 as determined on the basis of the Assessor's current tax roll. This assumption is predicated on the fact that this type of parcel would be a vacant piece of land; however, should subsequent evaluation reveal that the property is not vacant, then an appropriate service charge would be levied.

TABLE 1
LOADINGS FOR EACH CLASS OF LAND USE

| <u>DESCRIPTION</u> | <u>UNIT OF MEASURE</u> | <u>FLOW (Gallons per Day)</u> | <u>COD (Pounds per Day)</u> | <u>SUSPENDED SOLIDS (Pounds per Day)</u> |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| RESIDENTIAL | | | | |
| Single Family Home | Dwelling Unit | 260 | 1.22 | 0.59 |
| Condominiums | Dwelling Unit | 195 | 0.92 | 0.44 |
| Multi-Unit Residential | Dwelling Unit | 156 | 0.73 | 0.35 |
| Mobile Home Parks | No. of Spaces | 156 | 0.73 | 0.35 |
| COMMERCIAL | | | | |
| Hotel/Motel/Rooming House | Room | 125 | 0.54 | 0.28 |
| Store | 1000 ft ² | 100 | 0.43 | 0.23 |
| Supermarket | 1000 ft ² | 150 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Shopping Center | 1000 ft ² | 325 | 3.00 | 1.17 |
| Regional Mall | 1000 ft ² | 150 | 2.10 | 0.77 |
| Office Building | 1000 ft ² | 200 | 0.86 | 0.45 |
| Medical, Dental, Veterinary Clinic or Building | 1000 ft ² | 300 | 1.29 | 0.68 |
| Restaurant | 1000 ft ² | 1,000 | 16.68 | 5.00 |
| Indoor Theatre | 1000 ft ² | 125 | 0.54 | 0.28 |
| Car Wash | | | | |
| Tunnel - No Recycling | 1000 ft ² | 3,700 | 15.86 | 8.33 |
| Tunnel - Recycling | 1000 ft ² | 2,700 | 11.74 | 6.16 |
| Wand | 1000 ft ² | 700 | 3.00 | 1.58 |
| Bank, Credit Union | 1000 ft ² | 100 | 0.43 | 0.23 |
| Service Shop, Vehicle Maintenance & Repair Shop | 1000 ft ² | 100 | 0.43 | 0.23 |
| Animal Kennels | 1000 ft ² | 100 | 0.43 | 0.23 |
| Gas Station | 1000 ft ² | 100 | 0.43 | 0.23 |
| Auto Sales | 1000 ft ² | 100 | 0.43 | 0.23 |
| Wholesale Outlet | 1000 ft ² | 100 | 0.43 | 0.23 |
| Nursery/Greenhouse | 1000 ft ² | 25 | 0.11 | 0.06 |
| Manufacturing | 1000 ft ² | 200 | 1.86 | 0.70 |
| Light Manufacturing | 1000 ft ² | 25 | 0.23 | 0.09 |
| Lumber Yard | 1000 ft ² | 25 | 0.23 | 0.09 |
| Warehousing | 1000 ft ² | 25 | 0.23 | 0.09 |
| Open Storage | 1000 ft ² | 25 | 0.23 | 0.09 |
| Drive-in Theatre | 1000 ft ² | 20 | 0.09 | 0.05 |
| Night Club | 1000 ft ² | 350 | 1.50 | 0.79 |
| Bowling/Skating | 1000 ft ² | 150 | 1.76 | 0.55 |
| Club & Lodge Halls | 1000 ft ² | 125 | 0.54 | 0.27 |
| Auditorium, Amusement | 1000 ft ² | 350 | 1.50 | 0.79 |
| Golf Course and Park (Structures and Improvements) | 1000 ft ² | 100 | 0.43 | 0.23 |
| Campground, Marina, Recreational Vehicle Park | Sites, Slips, or Spaces | 55 | 0.34 | 0.14 |
| Convalescent Home | Bed | 125 | 0.54 | 0.28 |
| Horse Stables | Stalls | 25 | 0.23 | 0.09 |
| Laundromat | 1000 ft ² | 3,825 | 16.40 | 8.61 |

| <u>DESCRIPTION</u> | <u>UNIT OF MEASURE</u> | <u>FLOW (Gallons per Day)</u> | <u>COD (Pounds per Day)</u> | <u>SUSPENDED SOLIDS (Pounds per Day)</u> |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| COMMERCIAL | | | | |
| Mortuary, Funeral Home | 1000 ft ² | 100 | 1.33 | 0.67 |
| Health Spa, Gymnasium | | | | |
| With Showers | 1000 ft ² | 600 | 2.58 | 1.35 |
| Without Showers | 1000 ft ² | 300 | 1.29 | 0.68 |
| Convention Center, Fairground, Racetrack, Sports Stadium/Arena | Average Daily Attendance | 10 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| INSTITUTIONAL | | | | |
| College/University | Student | 20 | 0.09 | 0.05 |
| Private School | 1000 ft ² | 200 | 0.86 | 0.45 |
| Library, Museum | 1000 ft ² | 100 | 0.43 | 0.23 |
| Post Office (Local) | 1000 ft ² | 100 | 0.43 | 0.23 |
| Post Office (Regional) | 1000 ft ² | 25 | 0.23 | 0.09 |
| Church | 1000 ft ² | 50 | 0.21 | 0.11 |

TABLE 2**TAX RATE AREAS IN SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT**

| | | | | |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 000221 | 000719 | 002628 | 007651 | 008951 |
| 000223 | 000729 | 002694 | 007652 | 008970 |
| 000227 | 000732 | 002764 | 007653 | 008971 |
| 000281 | 000749 | 002910 | 007655 | 008972 |
| 000282 | 000769 | 002927 | 007669 | 008973 |
| 000325 | 000777 | 002933 | 007670 | 008980 |
| 000326 | 000801 | 003819 | 007673 | 008982 |
| 000330 | 000802 | 003823 | 007676 | 008999 |
| 000331 | 000803 | 003826 | 007677 | 009004 |
| 000332 | 000824 | 003889 | 007679 | 009127 |
| 000351 | 000826 | 004624 | 007711 | 009276 |
| 000353 | 000837 | 004749 | 007822 | 009298 |
| 000360 | 000887 | 004951 | 008014 | 009313 |
| 000362 | 000896 | 004957 | 008015 | 009314 |
| 000364 | 000906 | 004967 | 008046 | 009322 |
| 000386 | 000908 | 005006 | 008129 | 009341 |
| 000387 | 000918 | 005798 | 008379 | 009358 |
| 000389 | 000933 | 006285 | 008387 | 009360 |
| 000390 | 000935 | 006419 | 008544 | 009370 |
| 000391 | 000936 | 006562 | 008556 | 009406 |
| 000393 | 000937 | 006594 | 008581 | 009423 |
| 000397 | 000939 | 006595 | 008582 | 009425 |
| 000405 | 000947 | 006606 | 008659 | 009441 |
| 000409 | 000948 | 006614 | 008672 | 009481 |
| 000420 | 000955 | 006633 | 008674 | 009523 |
| 000431 | 000965 | 006634 | 008675 | 009533 |
| 000439 | 000966 | 006635 | 008676 | 009541 |
| 000442 | 000967 | 006641 | 008690 | 009573 |
| 000448 | 000975 | 006642 | 008704 | 009579 |
| 000450 | 000976 | 006646 | 008706 | 009718 |
| 000472 | 000977 | 006648 | 008710 | 009729 |
| 000508 | 000978 | 006665 | 008712 | 009755 |
| 000520 | 000979 | 006705 | 008717 | 009785 |
| 000550 | 001297 | 006707 | 008726 | 009786 |
| 000554 | 001299 | 006786 | 008733 | 009797 |
| 000564 | 001327 | 006818 | 008762 | 009807 |
| 000567 | 001340 | 006819 | 008777 | 009863 |
| 000569 | 001369 | 006822 | 008828 | 009864 |
| 000570 | 001403 | 006823 | 008845 | 009865 |
| 000584 | 001574 | 006825 | 008847 | 009980 |
| 000597 | 001616 | 006828 | 008857 | 009981 |
| 000601 | 001623 | 006838 | 008858 | 009982 |
| 000603 | 001635 | 007152 | 008861 | 009984 |
| 000612 | 001754 | 007231 | 008865 | 009987 |
| 000613 | 001811 | 007643 | 008866 | 010216 |
| 000621 | 001862 | 007646 | 008900 | 010286 |
| 000699 | 002473 | 007647 | 008905 | 010292 |
| 000704 | 002477 | 007648 | 008907 | 010293 |
| 000708 | 002504 | 007649 | 008912 | 010294 |
| 000717 | 002603 | 007650 | 008913 | 010522 |

| | | | | |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 010523 | 011317 | 012205 | 012782 | 013492 |
| 010524 | 011327 | 012283 | 012783 | 013493 |
| 010526 | 011351 | 012289 | 012794 | 013495 |
| 010527 | 011371 | 012436 | 012849 | 013496 |
| 010535 | 011372 | 012438 | 012887 | 013497 |
| 010536 | 011413 | 012440 | 012925 | 013499 |
| 010571 | 011432 | 012446 | 012926 | 013500 |
| 010577 | 011454 | 012448 | 012927 | 013503 |
| 010578 | 011506 | 012449 | 012928 | 013505 |
| 010582 | 011620 | 012450 | 012929 | 013507 |
| 010595 | 011631 | 012458 | 012936 | 013513 |
| 010596 | 011634 | 012459 | 012937 | 013552 |
| 010618 | 011675 | 012461 | 012947 | 013577 |
| 010721 | 011735 | 012474 | 012999 | 013578 |
| 010727 | 011736 | 012475 | 013000 | 013579 |
| 010732 | 011737 | 012478 | 013072 | 013580 |
| 010738 | 011766 | 012488 | 013073 | 013581 |
| 010742 | 011828 | 012490 | 013129 | 013597 |
| 010743 | 011829 | 012491 | 013145 | 013598 |
| 010746 | 011830 | 012492 | 013147 | 013601 |
| 010754 | 011833 | 012493 | 013149 | 013611 |
| 010764 | 011834 | 012494 | 013150 | 013612 |
| 010769 | 011835 | 012500 | 013151 | 013614 |
| 010770 | 011836 | 012501 | 013163 | 013624 |
| 010771 | 011850 | 012502 | 013164 | 013626 |
| 010791 | 011860 | 012543 | 013166 | 013641 |
| 010796 | 011879 | 012544 | 013202 | 013642 |
| 010811 | 011885 | 012551 | 013225 | 013643 |
| 010812 | 011886 | 012552 | 013301 | 013644 |
| 010813 | 011888 | 012557 | 013313 | 013645 |
| 010814 | 011889 | 012569 | 013317 | 013646 |
| 010815 | 011892 | 012574 | 013319 | 013647 |
| 010816 | 011901 | 012589 | 013322 | 013648 |
| 010817 | 011904 | 012594 | 013348 | 013649 |
| 010818 | 011906 | 012595 | 013349 | 013652 |
| 010819 | 011915 | 012596 | 013350 | 013653 |
| 010820 | 012067 | 012597 | 013351 | 013654 |
| 010821 | 012081 | 012605 | 013352 | 013655 |
| 010823 | 012096 | 012610 | 013373 | 013656 |
| 010840 | 012097 | 012651 | 013380 | 013689 |
| 011073 | 012098 | 012655 | 013429 | 013690 |
| 011158 | 012135 | 012676 | 013442 | 013696 |
| 011162 | 012137 | 012686 | 013443 | 013697 |
| 011165 | 012147 | 012687 | 013444 | 013698 |
| 011166 | 012148 | 012688 | 013445 | 013699 |
| 011167 | 012162 | 012692 | 013448 | 013700 |
| 011181 | 012169 | 012702 | 013449 | 013701 |
| 011214 | 012176 | 012707 | 013450 | 013702 |
| 011237 | 012193 | 012756 | 013460 | 013703 |
| 011238 | 012194 | 012757 | 013462 | 013704 |
| 011239 | 012195 | 012758 | 013473 | 013705 |
| 011244 | 012196 | 012778 | 013487 | 013706 |
| 011245 | 012202 | 012780 | 013488 | 013707 |
| 011246 | 012204 | 012781 | 013489 | 013727 |

| | | | | |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 013728 | 014044 | 014222 | 014528 | 014813 |
| 013737 | 014045 | 014223 | 014529 | 014814 |
| 013757 | 014048 | 014224 | 014534 | 014820 |
| 013759 | 014049 | 014225 | 014535 | 014821 |
| 013760 | 014050 | 014226 | 014539 | 014822 |
| 013761 | 014054 | 014227 | 014546 | 014832 |
| 013762 | 014059 | 014232 | 014547 | 014836 |
| 013763 | 014076 | 014233 | 014550 | 014845 |
| 013768 | 014083 | 014234 | 014552 | 014857 |
| 013769 | 014084 | 014235 | 014556 | 014859 |
| 013777 | 014085 | 014236 | 014557 | 014862 |
| 013783 | 014086 | 014237 | 014565 | 014865 |
| 013784 | 014087 | 014238 | 014568 | 014866 |
| 013787 | 014088 | 014239 | 014569 | 014867 |
| 013788 | 014089 | 014240 | 014570 | 014868 |
| 013793 | 014090 | 014241 | 014577 | 014875 |
| 013794 | 014091 | 014242 | 014578 | 014877 |
| 013796 | 014092 | 014243 | 014579 | 014880 |
| 013797 | 014093 | 014261 | 014584 | 014902 |
| 013798 | 014094 | 014272 | 014606 | 014903 |
| 013814 | 014095 | 014280 | 014617 | 014908 |
| 013816 | 014096 | 014282 | 014632 | 014910 |
| 013818 | 014097 | 014285 | 014633 | 014911 |
| 013819 | 014098 | 014286 | 014647 | 014912 |
| 013830 | 014099 | 014309 | 014656 | 014918 |
| 013840 | 014100 | 014403 | 014657 | 014920 |
| 013854 | 014101 | 014404 | 014661 | 014921 |
| 013855 | 014102 | 014423 | 014662 | 014922 |
| 013866 | 014103 | 014424 | 014663 | 014923 |
| 013867 | 014104 | 014454 | 014664 | 014924 |
| 013873 | 014105 | 014455 | 014665 | 014925 |
| 013878 | 014106 | 014457 | 014683 | 014926 |
| 013879 | 014107 | 014458 | 014684 | 014931 |
| 013880 | 014108 | 014460 | 014686 | 014932 |
| 013882 | 014109 | 014462 | 014687 | 014933 |
| 013888 | 014110 | 014464 | 014689 | 014935 |
| 013902 | 014111 | 014465 | 014695 | 014936 |
| 013967 | 014112 | 014466 | 014696 | 014937 |
| 013968 | 014113 | 014467 | 014698 | 014938 |
| 013969 | 014114 | 014468 | 014699 | 014939 |
| 013970 | 014115 | 014469 | 014700 | 014940 |
| 013971 | 014116 | 014470 | 014701 | 014942 |
| 013972 | 014121 | 014471 | 014706 | 014953 |
| 013973 | 014127 | 014472 | 014708 | 014956 |
| 013974 | 014128 | 014473 | 014720 | 014957 |
| 013976 | 014129 | 014474 | 014730 | 014960 |
| 013977 | 014147 | 014475 | 014739 | 014961 |
| 013978 | 014148 | 014477 | 014741 | 014962 |
| 013979 | 014166 | 014482 | 014794 | 014963 |
| 013981 | 014177 | 014483 | 014795 | 014966 |
| 013982 | 014178 | 014484 | 014796 | 015022 |
| 013989 | 014218 | 014493 | 014809 | 015023 |
| 013990 | 014219 | 014497 | 014810 | 015024 |
| 014043 | 014221 | 014526 | 014812 | 015025 |

| | | | | |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 015044 | 015382 | 015637 | 015879 | 015965 |
| 015065 | 015384 | 015638 | 015880 | 015966 |
| 015072 | 015386 | 015640 | 015881 | 015967 |
| 015074 | 015387 | 015641 | 015882 | 015968 |
| 015077 | 015392 | 015642 | 015883 | 015969 |
| 015078 | 015396 | 015643 | 015884 | 015970 |
| 015084 | 015397 | 015644 | 015885 | 015971 |
| 015089 | 015403 | 015646 | 015887 | 015972 |
| 015090 | 015405 | 015650 | 015888 | 015973 |
| 015098 | 015407 | 015651 | 015889 | 015974 |
| 015100 | 015408 | 015652 | 015890 | 015975 |
| 015110 | 015409 | 015653 | 015891 | 015976 |
| 015111 | 015411 | 015654 | 015892 | 015978 |
| 015113 | 015412 | 015655 | 015897 | 015979 |
| 015114 | 015416 | 015730 | 015898 | 015982 |
| 015115 | 015426 | 015738 | 015899 | 015983 |
| 015119 | 015427 | 015739 | 015900 | 015984 |
| 015125 | 015428 | 015763 | 015901 | 015985 |
| 015137 | 015432 | 015764 | 015902 | 015986 |
| 015138 | 015434 | 015784 | 015903 | 015988 |
| 015156 | 015438 | 015785 | 015904 | 015989 |
| 015162 | 015441 | 015786 | 015905 | 015990 |
| 015165 | 015442 | 015787 | 015906 | 015992 |
| 015193 | 015443 | 015788 | 015910 | 015993 |
| 015216 | 015446 | 015792 | 015912 | 015994 |
| 015220 | 015447 | 015793 | 015913 | 015998 |
| 015221 | 015448 | 015794 | 015914 | 015999 |
| 015222 | 015475 | 015796 | 015915 | 016000 |
| 015235 | 015476 | 015798 | 015925 | 016001 |
| 015237 | 015478 | 015828 | 015926 | 016002 |
| 015238 | 015480 | 015837 | 015928 | 016003 |
| 015241 | 015481 | 015838 | 015930 | 016004 |
| 015242 | 015506 | 015839 | 015931 | 016005 |
| 015243 | 015507 | 015840 | 015933 | 016008 |
| 015244 | 015508 | 015841 | 015939 | 016009 |
| 015245 | 015529 | 015843 | 015940 | 016010 |
| 015246 | 015536 | 015847 | 015941 | 016011 |
| 015306 | 015546 | 015848 | 015942 | 016012 |
| 015307 | 015549 | 015849 | 015943 | 016013 |
| 015317 | 015552 | 015863 | 015945 | 016014 |
| 015331 | 015558 | 015864 | 015946 | 016015 |
| 015350 | 015561 | 015865 | 015947 | 016016 |
| 015351 | 015563 | 015866 | 015948 | 016017 |
| 015352 | 015564 | 015867 | 015949 | 016018 |
| 015353 | 015565 | 015868 | 015950 | 016019 |
| 015359 | 015568 | 015870 | 015951 | 016020 |
| 015360 | 015569 | 015871 | 015952 | 016021 |
| 015363 | 015570 | 015872 | 015955 | 016022 |
| 015364 | 015602 | 015873 | 015959 | 016023 |
| 015366 | 015606 | 015874 | 015960 | 016024 |
| 015372 | 015615 | 015875 | 015961 | 016025 |
| 015378 | 015616 | 015876 | 015962 | 016026 |
| 015380 | 015618 | 015877 | 015963 | 016027 |
| 015381 | 015631 | 015878 | 015964 | 016028 |

| | | | | |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 016029 | 016054 | 016154 | 016301 | 016346 |
| 016030 | 016055 | 016155 | 016305 | 016348 |
| 016031 | 016056 | 016165 | 016306 | 016355 |
| 016032 | 016057 | 016169 | 016307 | 016356 |
| 016033 | 016058 | 016172 | 016308 | 016358 |
| 016036 | 016059 | 016176 | 016311 | 016359 |
| 016037 | 016064 | 016178 | 016312 | 016360 |
| 016038 | 016071 | 016188 | 016313 | 016361 |
| 016040 | 016093 | 016189 | 016321 | 016369 |
| 016041 | 016094 | 016190 | 016322 | 016370 |
| 016042 | 016096 | 016192 | 016323 | 016371 |
| 016043 | 016111 | 016193 | 016325 | 016375 |
| 016044 | 016120 | 016194 | 016328 | 016378 |
| 016045 | 016121 | 016195 | 016329 | 016390 |
| 016046 | 016126 | 016196 | 016330 | 016400 |
| 016047 | 016127 | 016198 | 016331 | 016410 |
| 016048 | 016129 | 016199 | 016333 | 016415 |
| 016049 | 016130 | 016266 | 016335 | 016417 |
| 016050 | 016131 | 016267 | 016338 | 016418 |
| 016051 | 016145 | 016276 | 016339 | 016419 |
| 016052 | 016146 | 016292 | 016340 | |
| 016053 | 016147 | 016299 | 016345 | |

TABLE 3

**PARCEL NUMBERS SERVED BY
SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT**

| | | | |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 2801001031 | 2834021087 | 2841064001 | 2854051072 |
| 2801028001 | 2836060041 | 2841064002 | 2854051073 |
| 2801029003 | 2837003040 | 2841064004 | 2854052001 |
| 2802003032 | 2839014042 | 2841064005 | 2854052002 |
| 2803024006 | 2839015022 | 2841064006 | 2854052003 |
| 2803024007 | 2839019013 | 2841064007 | 2854052004 |
| 2803024011 | 2839019026 | 2841064008 | 2854052005 |
| 2805012014 | 2839019027 | 2854011031 | 2854052032 |
| 2811003036 | 2840009004 | 2854051016 | 2854052035 |
| 2811003038 | 2840012025 | 2854051017 | 2854055040 |
| 2830020028 | 2841006021 | 2854051020 | 2854055041 |
| 2830020029 | 2841018056 | 2854051061 | 2865014005 |
| 2830020030 | 2841018057 | 2854051066 | 2865093066 |
| 2833006043 | 2841063016 | 2854051068 | 2865093103 |
| 2833032026 | 2841063017 | 2854051071 | |